Nuclear Characteristics of SPNDs and Preliminary Calculation of Hybrid Fixed Incore Detector with Monte Carlo Code

Bon-Seung Koo, Kyung-Hoon Lee, Jae-Seung Song and Sang-Yoon Park

Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 1045, Daedeokdaero, Yuseong-gu, Daejon, 305-353, Korea

<u>bskoo@kaeri.re.kr</u>

1. Introduction

Recently, an innovative In-Core Instrumentation (ICI) system has been suggested (and developed) to expand the applicability of conventional Self-Powered Neutron Detectors (SPND). By introducing a hybrid fixed in-core detector composed of a neutron sensitive emitter and a number of gamma sensitive emitters, core protection and monitoring are possible. Gamma sensitive materials generate prompt signals without a time-delay and a neutron sensitive emitter is used to calibrate the prompt signals.

In this paper, the basic nuclear characteristics of major emitter materials were surveyed. In addition, preliminary calculations of Cobalt-Vanadium fixed incore detector were performed using the Monte Carlo code[1]. Calculational results were cross-checked by KARMA[2]. KARMA is a two-dimensional multigroup transport theory code developed by the KAERI and approved by Korean regularity agency to be employed as a nuclear design tool for a Korean commercial pressurizer water reactor.

2. Methods and Results

2.1 Nuclear Characteristics of SPNDs

The SPND consists of three main parts, i.e., an emitter, insulator, and a collector, arranged in a coaxial geometry. During operation, there is a thermal neutron interaction with the emitter, which results in the emission of high-energy electrons generating a current directly proportional to the neutron flux. For power reactor applications, the typical emitter materials used in SPNDs include Rhodium, Vanadium, Cobalt, and Platinum. Table 1 shows an overview of some of the important characteristics of SPND emitters used in power reactor application. Rhodium (¹⁰³Rh) has a (n, β) interaction with a 146

Rhodium (¹⁰³Rh) has a (n, β) interaction with a 146 barn cross-section(XS) for thermal neutrons and a resonance at 1.25eV. The burnup rate is 0.39% per month in a thermal neutron flux of 10¹³ *n/cm²-sec*. An SPND with a rhodium emitter has a relatively high sensitivity, high burnup rate, perturbs the local power density, and has a (two-fold) delayed signal.

Vanadium (⁵¹V) has a (n, β) interaction with a thermal neutron XS of 4.9 barns featuring a 1/v characteristic without resonances in the energy of thermal/epithermal neutrons. The burnup rate is 0.012%/month in at thermal neutron flux of 10^{13} n/cm^2 -sec. Ninety-nine percent of the signal has a half-life of 3.76 minutes, and

1% of the signal is prompt. A vanadium SPND emitter has a relatively low sensitivity, low burnup rate, and minimal perturbation of the local power density, but has a very long delayed signal.

Cobalt (⁵⁹Co) has a (n,γ) interaction with a 37 barn thermal neutron XS and a parallel gamma-photon reaction. The signal is prompt, but requires long term compensation owing to a build-up of radioactive isotopes ⁶⁰Co and ⁶¹Co. The cobalt emitter has a relatively low sensitivity, moderate burn-up rate, and a prompt signal.

Platinum (¹⁹⁵Pt) has a (n, γ) interaction with a 24 barn thermal neutron XS and a parallel gamma-photon reaction. A platinum emitter is sensitive to both gamma and neutron. A platinum emitter has a relatively low sensitivity, low burn-up rate and a prompt signal.

The characteristics of self-powered detectors most commonly used in nuclear power plants are summarized in Table 2.

Emitter	Delayed	Prompt	Prompt	Applications
Linittei	(n, β)	(n, y ,e)	(y ,e)	Applications
				LWR Flux mapping
⁵⁹ Co	S	Р	S	LWR control
				Local core protection
¹⁹⁵ Pt	S	Р	Р	LWR control
				HWR control
¹⁰³ Rh	Р	-	-	LWR flux mapping
⁵¹ V	Р	Р	S	HWR flux mapping
				LWR flux mapping

Table 1. SPND emitter materials characteristics

a) P : Primary interaction, S : Secondary interaction

Table 2. Nuclear characteristics of selected emitter materials

Emittar	Stable	Compo.	XS	Result	Half-life	
Emitter	isotope	(%)	(barns)	nuclide		
⁵⁹ Co	⁵⁹ Co	100	37	⁶⁰ Co	5.27 yr	
¹⁹⁵ Pt	¹⁹² Pt	0.78	14	^{193m} Pt	4.3 day	
	¹⁹⁴ Pt	32.90	2	^{195m} Pt	4.1 day	
	¹⁹⁵ Pt	33.80	24	¹⁹⁶ Pt	stable	
	¹⁹⁶ Pt	25.30	1	^{197m} Pt	1.3 hr	
	¹⁹⁸ Pt	7.22	4	¹⁹⁹ Pt	30.8 min	
¹⁰³ Rh	¹⁰³ Rh	100	11(8%)	104m Rh	4.4 min	
			135(92%)	¹⁰⁴ Rh	42 sec	
⁵⁰ V	⁵⁰ V	0.24	100	⁵¹ V	stable	
	⁵¹ V	99.76	4.9	⁵² V	3.76 min	

2.2 Hybrid ICI System

An innovative ICI system has recently been developed to enhance (or expand) the applicability of

conventional incore detectors, not only a control function but *also* protection capability[3].

The core power surveillance of Siemens PWRs combines two complementary in-core instrumentation systems, i.e., an Aeroball system and a Power Density Detector (PDD) system. The Aeroball system is a movable flux mapping system and the PDD system continuously monitors the core using fixed in-core prompt responding detectors. A SENTINEL protection system was realized by introducing a hybrid fixed incore detector composed of a neutron sensitive vanadium emitter and a number of gamma sensitive platinum emitters.

In Korea, the Central Research Institute of KHNP suggested the MAPSSEL system using vanadiumplatinum hybrid detector[4]. Its functionality and nuclear characteristics are similar with the SENTINEL system except for the detector configuration.

2.3 Modeling of Co-V Hybrid ICI Assembly

A set of reference fuel assemblies, PLUS-7, was selected and a Co-V hybrid ICI assembly was modeled. Each fuel assembly is made up of a 16×16 array of normal UO₂ fuel rods, gadolinia-bearing UO₂ fuel rods and four guide tubes and a central instrumentation tube. Normal fuel rods are enriched with 5.0/4.5 wt% U²³⁵ and gadolinia-bearing fuel rods are loaded with 8.0/6.0 wt% Gd₂O₃ admixed in 0.711wt% UO₂. The four guide tubes can be filled with B₄C as a neutron absorber.

For all of the problems, the reflective boundary condition for the radial direction and infinite condition for the axial direction (i.e. zero axial buckling) are assumed. In the KARMA calculation, the default ray option was used. An ENDF/B-VII library was used in the KARMA and MCNP calculations. Figure 1 shows a modeled fuel assembly (ici-72 type) and Co-V ICI assembly composed of Cobalt, Vanadium, and background detectors.

The MCNP results were regarded as a reference solution, and the eigenvalue and pin-by-pin fission power distributions were compared. Table 3 shows eigenvalue differences between MCNP and KARMA for each calculation. The results of the two codes show good agreement within ~0.003 delta-k. Figure 2 shows the pin-by-pin fission power distributions, and the maximum difference is not greater than 0.5%.



Fig. 1. Modeled 16×16 fuel assembly and Co-V hybrid ICI assembly

Table 3. k-infinitive comparison between KARMA and MCNP code for reference fuel assemblies (*ICI uninstalled*)

field code for reference fuel assemblies (fer analytanea)						
KARMA	MCNP	delta_k	Description			
1.37327	1.37695	-0.00368	0-BP/0-CR			
1.26725	1.27066	-0.00341	8-BP/0-CR			
1.17872	1.18118	-0.00246	16-BP/0-CR			
1.11578	1.11391	0.00187	0-BP/4-CR			
1.03820	1.03608	0.00212	8-BP/4-CR			
	KARMA 1.37327 1.26725 1.17872 1.11578 1.03820	KARMA MCNP 1.37327 1.37695 1.26725 1.27066 1.17872 1.18118 1.11578 1.11391 1.03820 1.03608	KARMA MCNP delta_k 1.37327 1.37695 -0.00368 1.26725 1.27066 -0.00341 1.17872 1.18118 -0.00246 1.11578 1.11391 0.00187 1.03820 1.03608 0.00212			

a) 8-BP : gadolinia rod (8w/o $Gd_2O_3+0.711$ w/o U^{235}) b) 16-BP : gadolinia rod (6w/o $Gd_2O_3+0.711$ w/o U^{235}) c) CR : B_4C control rod

0.0 - 0.0 0.0	0.0 - 0.0 - 1.040 1.040 -0.05 1.014	1.053 1.052 -0.07						KARMA Diff(%)
- 0.0 0.0	- 0.0 0.0 - 1.040 1.040 -0.05 1.014	1.053 1.052 -0.07						Diff(%)
0.0 0.0 -	0.0 0.0 - 1.040 1.040 -0.05 1.014	1.053 1.052 -0.07						
-	0.0 - 1.040 1.040 -0.05 1.014	1.053 1.052 -0.07						
	- 1.040 1.040 -0.05 1.014	1.053 1.052 -0.07						
	1.040 1.040 -0.05 1.014	1.053 1.052 -0.07						
	1.040 -0.05 1.014	1.052 -0.07						
	-0.05 1.014	-0.07						
	1.014							
		1.012	1.046					
	1.009	1.010	1.049					
	-0.48	-0.20	0.26					
	0.973	1.005	1.032	0.0				
	0.970	1.005	1.035	0.0				
	-0.33	0.00	0.34	-				
	0.954	0.994	1.029	0.0	0.0			
	0.954	0.993	1.030	0.0	0.0			
	0.05	-0.10	0.11	-	-			
	0.944	0.970	1.030	1.034	1.036	1.047		
	0.943	0.968	1.032	1.034	1.038	1.047		
	-0.11	-0.19	0.21	0.03	0.21	-0.01		
	0.946	0.958	0.981	1.011	1.016	1.004	1.002	
	0.945	0.957	0.982	1.011	1.016	1.003	1.004	
	-0.12	-0.10	0.08	0.00	-0.03	-0.14	0.21	
	0.976	0.983	0.995	1.006	1.013	1.021	0.953	0.992
	0.070	0.983	0.995	1.008	1.015	1.021	0.954	0.992
	0.976							

Fig. 2. Fission power difference between KARMA and MCNP code (*ici-72 type*, *ICI uninstalled*)

3. Conclusions and Future Work

The nuclear characteristics of the major emitter materials were surveyed, and preliminary calculations of the hybrid fixed incore detector were performed with the MCNP code. The eigenvalue and pin-by-pin fission power distributions were calculated and showed good agreement with the KARMA calculation results.

As future work, gamma power distributions as well as several types of XS of the emitter, insulator, and collector regions for a Co-V ICI assembly will be evaluated and compared.

REFERENCES

[1] "RSICC Computer Code Collection MCNP4C2, Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code System", CCC-701 MCNP4C2, LANL, 2001.

[2] S.Y. Park et al., "KARMA 1.2 User's Manual", KAERI/UM-19, 2012.

[3] B.S. Koo, et al., "Core Protection Method with 4-Channel CEA Signals for Application of OPR-1000 Plants", Transactions of KNS Spring Meeting, Kyeogju, May 29, 2008.

[4] Y.S. Choi, et al., "Development of MAPSSEL Long Life Detector Design - Performance Evaluation", Transactions of ANS Annual Meeting, San Diego, June 5-9, 2005.