# **Characterization of Uranium Oxides by FTIR**

Jong-Goo Kim, Yang-Soon Park, Hyun-Kyum Kim, Yeong-Keong Ha

Nuclear Chemistry Research Center, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Yusung, Taejon, Korea 305-353

njgkim@kaeri.re.kr

#### 1. Introduction

Generally, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis or thermogravimetry (TG) have been used for the characterization of the uranium oxide phases, but sometimes depending on the complexity of samples more information by other analytical techniques is needed for the clear determination. In this work, the measurement of Infrared (IR) spectra for Gd-doped  $UO_2$  including pure  $UO_2$  and their oxidized products were carried out in order to investigate the applicability of Fourier Transform infrared (FTIR) measurement for the characterization of uranium oxide species.

# 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Preparation of Gd-doped UO<sub>2</sub>

The samples of  $(U_{1-y}Gd_y)O_2$ , where y ranged from 0 to 0.8, were prepared by sintering in hydrogen atmosphere at 1,700 °C for 4 hours. The pellets were finally pulverized in an agate mortar for the measurements.

2.2. Preparation of oxidized samples of Gd-doped  $UO_2$ 

The prepared samples of  $(U_{1-y}Gd_y)O_2$  were heated under air atmosphere for 1 hour at 550 °C. This temperature was chosen from the thermogravimeric curves where no further weight gains by oxygen occurred. After cooling, FTIR measurements were carried out.

# 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Spectra for the undoped $UO_2$ and $U_3O_8$

Fig. 1 shows each of FTIR spectra for the undoped  $UO_2$ ,  $U_3O_8$  and the dopant,  $Gd_2O_3$ . As shown in Fig. 1, there is no specific peak for the  $UO_2$ , on the other hand the peaks of 487 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 517 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 712 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the  $U_3O_8$  and 434 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 537 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the  $Gd_2O_3$  are found.

#### 3.2. Spectra for the Gd-doped uranium oxides

Fig. 2 shows the FTIR spectra for the Gd-doped UO<sub>2</sub> including the spectra of pure UO<sub>2</sub> and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> for comparison. The spectra in the range(y=0.11 to 0.42) look almost alike with the undoped UO<sub>2</sub> showing no specific peak, but those where y is above 0.42 show some variation deviating from the trend of the preceding ones. And the peaks(434, 537cm<sup>-1</sup>) for pure Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> are not found in the spectra for the Gd-doped UO<sub>2</sub>. These results are in accord with the result of

previous work[1], that is, UO<sub>2</sub> and Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> form a solid solution,  $(U_{1-y}Gd_y)O_2$  in the range(y=0 to 0.42), which was resulted by plotting the lattice parameters of  $(U_1, vGd_y)O_2$  against y(Fig. 4.) and applying Vegard's law[2].

Fig. 3 shows the FTIR spectra for the oxidized products of the Gd-doped UO<sub>2</sub>. As shown in Fig. 3, the peaks of 487cm<sup>-1</sup>, 517cm<sup>-1</sup> for U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub> disappear and the peak of 712cm<sup>-1</sup> decreases when y is 0.11. And the spectra where y is above 0.11 does not show any peaks for U<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>.



Fig. 1. FTIR spectra for the undoped  $UO_2$  and  $U_3O_8$ .



Fig. 2. FTIR spectra for the samples of Gd-doped  $UO_2$  [ $(U_{1-y}Gd_y)O_2$ ].

Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Autumn Meeting PyeongChang, Korea, October 30-31, 2008





Fig. 3. FTIR spectra for the oxidized products of the Gd-doped  $UO_2[(U_{1-y}Gd_y)O_2]$ .

# 4. Conclusion

Through the spectra of  $U_3O_8$ , oxidized products of the Gd-doped  $UO_2$  and  $Gd_2O_3$  of this work, it was known that the specific absorption peaks corresponding to M-O vibrations of these uranium oxides and the inorganic dopant,  $Gd_2O_3$  are distributed in the range from 400 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 1000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Consequently, the applicability of FTIR as an auxiliary method with XRD for the characterization of uranium oxide species was confirmed.

# REFERENCES

[1] J. G. Kim, Y.K. Ha, S.D. Park, K.Y. Jee, W.H., J. Nucl. Mater. 297 (2001) 327
[2] A.R. West, "Basic Solid State Chemistry", JOHN WILEY & SONS, 1991, p253

Fig. 4. Lattice parameter of  $(U_{1-y}Gd_y)O_2$  versus Gd content (y) in  $(U_{1-y}Gd_y)O_2$  at a room temperature[1].