A Modified Method to Predict Failure Pressure of Steam Generator Tubes with Through- Wall Cracks

Han-Sang Lee ^a, Nak-Hyun Kim ^a, Yun-Jae Kim ^{a*}, Jong-Sung Kim ^b, Jin-Won Kim ^c
^{*a}Mechanical Engineering, Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea*</sup> *^bMechanical Engineering, Sunchon National University, Sunchon, Republic of Korea ^cNuclear Energy Engineering, Chosun University, Gwangju, Republic of Korea*

**Corresponding author: kimy0308@korea.ac.kr*

1. Introduction

Multiple axial cracks in the stream generator tubes is often found. An assessment method of such multiple axial cracks is important for safe operation of plant components. However, assessment method of multiple cracks is no yet well established due to the fact that plastic failure behavior can be very complex. One efficient way to predict plastic behavior is to perform FE damage analyses. This paper proposes a new numerical method to predict ductile failure behavior of steam generator tubes with multiple through-wall cracks. In this new method based on the former method [1], ductile failure criterion was assumed to be a function of the finite element size. To validate the new method, simulated results are compared with experiment results of Alloy 600 thin plates and tubes with through-wall cracks.

2. Methods and Results

In this section the new assessment method of multiple axial cracks is described. Simulated results by using new method compared with experiment results.

2.1 Review of publish test data

Test data published in the literature, Ref. [2-3]. Test data include thin plates and tubes with two through-wall cracks, made of Alloy 600. Engineering stress-strain curves resulted from tensile using two plate specimens with the 1.6mm thickness. Averaged yield (0.2% proof) strength $\sigma_{0.2}$, tensile strength σ_u and reduction of area (RA) of the material were about 322MPa, 660MPa and 60%. Test data are available for Alloy 600 tube specimen, having the outer diameter of 19.05mm and the thickness of 1.09mm. Three types (singe crack, collinear crack, and non-aligned crack) of cracked tube specimens were tested. The tubes were pressurized by water and burst pressures.

2.2 Damage model

Our propose damage model is based on the phenomenological model for ductile fracture. In this model, fracture strain ε_f for simple fracture is assumed to depend exponentially on the stress triaxiality (defined by the ratio of the mean normal stress σ_m and equivalent stress σ_e) [4-7] *^f ^e A C B* ^s tumn Meeting

Ol₂

Sung Kim^b, Jin-Won Kim^c
 l, Republic of Korea

Sunchon, Republic of Korea

rea.ac.kr

rea.ac.kr

reponentially on the stress triaxiality (defined

of the mean normal stress σ_m and equivalent
 ociety Autumn Meeting

25-26, 2012

Steam Generator Tubes with Through-

s

", Jong-Sung Kim^b, Jin-Won Kim^c

", Song, Republic of Korea

twersity, Sunchon, Republic of Korea

eversity, Sunchon, Republic of Korea

408@ am Generator Tubes with Through-

ng-Sung Kim^b, Jin-Won Kim^c

eoul, Republic of Korea

ty, Sunchon, Republic of Korea

(Swangju, Republic of Korea

dexponentially on the stress triaxiality (defined

dexponentially on

$$
\varepsilon_f = A \exp\left(-C \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e}\right) + B \tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e} = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{3\sigma_e} \tag{2}
$$

A, B and *C* are a material constant

examples are softery Autumn Meeting

of Steam Generator Tubes with Through-

cometarity, Seoul, Republic of Korea
 ersity, Seoul, Republic of Korea
 ersity, Sunchine, Republic of Korea
 ersity, Sunchine, Republic o or Society Autumn Meeting

of Steam Generator Tubes with Through-

cks

comparison of Steam Cenerator Tubes with Through-

cks

im a^* , Jong-Sung Kim^b, Jin-Won Kim^c

stration, Republic of Korea

or Aniversity, *Sunc* Autumn Meeting

2012

2012

2012

2012

2012

2012

2012

2012

2012
 Sumehon, Republic of Korea

2013
 Republic of Korea

2020

2020

2013

2020

2020 To complete the damage model, FE damage analysis is performed to simulate single-cracked tube test with c=5mm. To reduce the number of variables, the constant C is assumed to be $C=1.5$, according to Rice and Tracey [4]. As our experience on various structural steels suggests that the fracture strain at $\sigma_{m}/\sigma_{e}=2.5$ ranges from 10% to 20% of uni-axial fracture strain, two initial trials of the fracture strain criteria (see Eqs. (3) below) were used, as shown in Fig. 1 Gwangju, Republic of Korea

@korea.ac.kr

al exponentially on the stress triaxiality (defined

titio of the mean normal stress σ_m and equivalent
 $\exp\left(-C\frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e}\right) + B$ (1)
 $\frac{r_1 + \sigma_2 + \sigma_3}{3\sigma_e}$ (2)

C are a materi d exponentially on the stress triaxiality (defined
tio of the mean normal stress σ_m and equivalent

[4-7]
 $|\cos(1-\sigma_m)| + B$ (1)
 $\frac{\sinh(\sigma_m)}{\sigma_e} + B$ (2)
 $\frac{3\sigma_e}{3\sigma_e}$ (2)
 $\frac{3\sigma_e}{3\sigma_e}$ (2)

C are a material constant

m public of Korea

r

ally on the stress triaxiality (defined

ean normal stress σ_m and equivalent
 $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + B$ (1)

(2)

erial constant

damage model, FE damage analysis

ulate single-cracked tube test with

the num ally on the stress triaxiality (defined

ean normal stress σ_m and equivalent
 \rightarrow $+ B$ (1)

(2)

Frail constant

lamage model, FE damage analysis

ulate single-cracked tube test with

ne number of variables, the const

$$
Criteria 1: \varepsilon_f = 1.130 \times \exp(-1.5 \times \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e}) + 0.138
$$
\n
$$
Criteria 2: \varepsilon_f = 1.272 \times \exp(-1.5 \times \frac{\sigma_m}{\sigma_e}) + 0.052
$$
\n(3)

Fig. 1. The stress-modified fracture strain for Alloy 600

Predicted burst pressures should depend on the critical damage ω_c and dependence is shown in Fig. 2. Ratios of predicted and experimental burst pressures increase almost linearly with increasing ω_c . Results suggest that the use of two different criteria in Eq. (3) gives close results but the criterion 2 gives slightly conservative results. They further suggest that for the 0.25mm element size, ω_c =0.55 gives the best result.

Fig. 2. Burst pressure of single though-wall crack

2.3 FE damage simulations

FE damage analyses were performed using the damage model in the previous sub-section. The element size of 0.25mm was used with ω_c =0.55. The eight-node brick elements with full integrations within ABAQUS (element type C3D8) were used in analyses.

Predicted maximum loads for cracked plate tests are compared with experimental data in Fig. 3. Results show that simulated results agree well with experiment data.

Predicted burst pressures for cracked tube tests are compared with experimental data in Fig. 4. Results show that simulated results have 10% error with experiment data.

Fig. 3. Comparison of experiment load-displacement curves with simulated ones

Fig. 4. Comparison of experiment burst pressures with simulated ones

3. Conclusions

A new numerical method to predict failure behavior of Alloy 600 steam generator tubes with two through wall cracks is proposed in this paper. In this new method, ductile failure criterion was assumed to be a function of the finite element size. To validate the new method, simulated results are compared with experiment results of Alloy 600 thin plates and tubes with through wall cracks. Results show that FE damage analyses by using the new method predict well experiment data.

REFERENCES

[1] N. H. Kim, C. S. Oh, and Y. J. Kim, A Method to Predict Failure Pressures of Steam Generator Tubes with Multiple Through-Wall Cracks, Engng Fract Mech, Vol.77, p. 842-855, 2010

[2] S. I. Moon, Y. J. Kim, J. H. Lee, Y. W. Park, and M. H. Song, Optimum local failure model of steam generator tubes with multiple axial through-wall cracks, Nucl Engng Des, Vol.235, p. 2099-2108, 2005

[3] S. I. Moon, Y. S. Chang, Y. J. Kim, J. H. Lee, Y. W. Park, and M. H. Song, Determination of failure pressure for tubes with two non-aligned axial through-wall cracks, Int J Fract, Vol.144, p. 91-101, 2007

[4] J. R. Rice, D. M. Tracey, On the ductile enlargement of voids in triaxial stress fields, J Mech Phys Solids , Vol.17, p. 201-217, 1969

[5] W. M. Garrison, N. R. Moody, Ductile fracture, J Phys Chem Solids , Vol.48, p. 1035-1074, 1987

[6] Y. Bao, T. Wierzbicki, On fracture locus in the equivalent strain and stress triaxiality space, Int J Mech Sci, Vol.46, p. 81-98, 2004

[7] C. K. Oh, Y. J. Kim, J. H, Baek, and W. S. Kim, Development of stress-modified fracture strain for ductile failure of API X65 steel, Int J Fract, Vol.143, p. 119-133, 2007

[8] ABAQUS Version 6.9, User's manual, Inc. and Dassault Systemes, 2009