# Analysis of vacuum and residual gas in the chamber to generate 10 ns proton beam pulse

K. M. Park<sup>\*</sup>, H. S. Kim, H. J. Kwon, Y. S. Cho

Korea Multipurpose Accelerator Complex, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute \*Corresponding author: kmpark77@kaeri.re.kr

# 1. Introduction

Nuclear data, which represents the interaction between neutron and material, is source technology for nuclear power to improve various industrial fields such as nuclear reactor, basic science, medical, and space industry and hence, the demand to secure more nuclear data has been increasing. Due to such a demand, proton linear accelerator (linac) has attracted attention as one of the techniques to secure nuclear data through generating high velocity neutron, which is formed when proton pulse beam crashes some target.

Now, we are planning to develop the technique for 10 ns proton beam pulse to produce more accurate neutron energy and it can provide the foundation for generation of nuclear data. Its system is designed as two major parts; one is deflector part in order to altering DC beam, which is extracted from ion source, into short pulse beam and the other is beam bunching system to compensate reducing beam current [1].

In this paper, we have examined the vacuum and residual gases in the fabricated deflector chamber as basic research for generation of 10 ns beam pulse to achieve efficient pumping system and examine the effect of residual gases. In the accelerator, the high vacuum system is important factor related to beam losses and radiation background.

#### 2. Experiments

#### 2.1. Deflector chamber and Vacuum system

The deflector chamber, made of stainless steel, included two deflecting Al electrodes of  $140 \times 140 \text{ mm}^2$  connected to high voltage feed-through with ceramic insulator and its size was around  $600 \times 300 \times 300 \text{ mm}^3$ . Its pumping system was designed with a turbo molecular pump (TMP) with 45,000 rpm, Osaka Vacuum, and a scroll pump with 300 l/min, ANEST IWATA Cor., which was connected to TMP but not chamber directly. The variation of pressure was observed by using LABVIEW system in real time.

# 2.2. Set up Residual Gas Analyzer (RGA)

After reaching high vacuum above  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  Torr, residual gas in deflector chamber was analyzed by using RGA, PFEIFFER Vacuum, which is called quadrupole mass spectrometer [2]. QUADSTAR 422 was used as execution program but not LABVIEW.

The RGA test was performed according to variation of pressure as shown in Table 1.

Time (min)	Pressure (Torr)
25	$1 \times 10^{-5}$
40	$5  imes 10^{-6}$
150	$1 \times 10^{-6}$
300	$5 \times 10^{-7}$
1700	$1 \times 10^{-7}$
3 days later	$5 \times 10^{-8}$
4 days later	$3 \times 10^{-8}$

Table I. Experimental condition for RGA

#### 3. Results and Discussion

# 3.1. Vacuum

Fig.1 (a) and (b) shows time verse pressure and fitting variation of pressure in 400 min, respectively. 4 days later, pressure reached around  $3 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr and its outgassing rate was around  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  Torr ·L/sec ·cm<sup>2</sup>. At Fig.1 (b), fitting line (red line) indicated P ~ At<sup>n</sup> and here, n is around -0.7. It indicated that the pressure of fabricated chamber was similar to ideal pressure when considering n = -1 at that [3].



Fig. 1. Pressure variation for 4 days: (a) pressure verse time, and (b) fitting for variation of pressure in 400 min.

# 3.2. RGA test

As the result of RGA test, the residual gases were identified as Ar, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, C<sub>x</sub>H<sub>x</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>/CO, and O<sub>2</sub>. Most of residual gas was H<sub>2</sub>O which took up over 94 % of concentration percent in pressure ranges we observed and that of H<sub>2</sub>O reduced from 98 to 94 % when pressure varied from  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr [3]. Fig. 2 (a) showed that the lower total pressure, the higher concentration percent of  $N_2/CO$  and  $O_2$ . It meant that total amount of gases reduced as total pressure reduced and H<sub>2</sub>O might be pumped better in high vacuum than other gases due to more quantity than others and hence, partial pressure reduced in accordance with such tendency of concentration variation as represented in Fig. 2 (b). It was expected that  $N_2/CO$  and  $O_2$  influenced beam loss or variation of total pressure in ultra-high vacuum, assuming to continue such variation tendency for partial pressure and concentration.



Fig. 2. RGA results for each total pressure: (a) variation of concentration percent verse variation of total pressure, and (b) variation of partial pressure verse variation of total pressure.

#### 4. Summary

The basic researches for vacuum of deflector chamber were performed to examine efficient gas pumping and beam loss by residual gas. The pressure for chamber could reached around  $3 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr when scroll pump and turbo pump were applied and at this moment outgassing rate was  $1 \times 10^{-9}$  Torr ·L/sec ·cm<sup>2</sup>. At RGA test, H<sub>2</sub>O took up most of concentration percent

and its partial pressure hardly distinguish total pressure. When total pressure varied from  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  to  $3 \times 10^{-8}$  Torr, concentration percent of H<sub>2</sub>O reduced from 98 to 94 % and when total pressure was getting lower, the gap between partial pressures of N<sub>2</sub>/CO, O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O was narrower even though it was tiny variation.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning.

## REFERENCES

[1] Helmut Liebl, Applied Charged Particle Optics, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp.45-78.

[2] Marsbed H. Hablanian, High-Vacuum Technology, MARCEL DEKKER, INC, New York and Basel, pp.347-353.

[3] S.H. Bae, S.R. Inn, K.H. Jung, Y.B. Lee, and Y.H. Shin, Vacuum Engineering, The Korea Economic Daily, Seoul, pp.135-141.