Semi-empirical Calculation of Detection Efficiency for Voluminous Source Based on Effective Solid Angle Concept

M.Y. Kang^a, J.H. Kim^a, H.D. Choi^a and G.M. Sun^b

^aDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea ^bKorea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI), Daejeon, Korea vandegra@plaza.snu.ac.kr

1. Introduction

To calculate the full energy (FE) absorption peak efficiency for arbitrary volume sample, we developed and verified the Effective Solid Angle (ESA) Code. The procedure for semi-empirical determination of the FE efficiency for the arbitrary volume sources and the calculation principles and processes about ESA code is referred to, and the code was validated with a HPGe detector (relative efficiency 32%, n-type) in previous studies [1-5]. In this study, we use different type and efficiency of HPGe detectors, in order to verify the performance of the ESA code for the various detectors.

2. Experiment

2.1 Sources and detectors

The specification of sources and detectors used in this study is listed in table 1 and table 2 and its pictures are shown in figure 1.

|--|

	Contents		
HPGe	Relative	32%	n-type
Detector	efficiency		(ORTEC)
		17%	p-type
			(CANBERRA)
	IAEA		⁶⁰ Co, ¹³⁷ Cs,
	standard	Nuclides	¹⁵² Eu, ¹³³ Ba,
	Point		²⁴¹ Am
	source		
			⁶⁰ Co, ¹³⁷ Cs,
Sources			¹¹³ Sn, ¹⁰⁹ Cd,
	KRISS	Nuclides	²⁴¹ Am, ⁵¹ Cr,
	CRM		¹³⁹ Ce, ⁸⁵ Sr, ⁸⁸ Y,
	Volume		⁵⁷ Co
	source	Volume	450ml
		Туре	Marinelli
		Medium	0.1M HCl agar



Fig.1.The KRISS CRM volume source and IAEA standard point source

specifications as input uata of LSA code					
	32%	17%			
	detector	detector			
	[mm]	[mm]			
Crystal Diameter	52.9	50.5			
Crystal Length	79.5	36.5			
Hole Diameter	9	7.5			
Hole Depth	71.4	22			
Outside Contact	0.3-micon	0.5			
Layer	Ge/B	Ge/Li			
Hole	0.7	0.3-micon			
Contact Layer	Ge/Li	Ge/B			
End Cap Window	0.5 Be	1 Al			
Insulator	0.03	0.008			
/Shield	Al Mylar	Be Mylar			

Table 2. Relative efficiency 17% and 32% detector's specifications as input data of ESA code

2.2 Device Configuration and measurement

In order to obtain sufficient statistics, the minimum area of the interest peak is acquired over 10,000 counts. The source-to-detector distance 0 cm and dead time was less than 2%. An experimental conditions are listed in table 3 and detection geometry and experimental instruments are shown in figure 2.

Table 3. Experimental condition

	Contents				
Detector					
Relative	32%	17%			
efficiency					
Location	Pb+Cu Shield in SNU	Detection room			
Acquisition Time	2 hours				
Source to detector distance	point source : 25 cm Marinelli volume source : 0 cm				



Fig.2.Experimental instruments and detection geometry

3. Result

Figure 3 shows the comparison of the efficiency curve for a voluminous source between the calculation value and the experimental data at efficiency 17% and 32% detector.

At mid-energy region, calculation value and experimental data fits well for both detectors, but high- and low-energy region results seems to be overestimated in the measurement by using the 17% HPGe detector. This problem arise as a consequence of the difference of the semiconductor detector's type. So efficiency 17% p-type HPGe detector needs an additional correction about effective dead layer [7].



Fig.3.Comparison of efficiency curve between calculation and measurement

4. Conclusion and Further Work

We calculated the efficiency curve of voluminous source and compared with experimental data. We will carry out additional validation by measurement of various medium, volume and shape of CRM volume sources with detector of different efficiency and type. And we will reflect the effect of the dead layer of ptype HPGe detector and coincidence summing correction technique in near future.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Korea Radiation Safety Foundation (KORSAFE) and Korea Research Institute of Standards and Science (KRISS).

REFERENCES

[1] G.M. Sun, H.D. Choi, Calculation of the Absolute Peak Efficiency of the HPGe Detector by using Point Detector Concept, Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Autumn Meeting, Deajeon, Korea, October 26~27, 2000.

[2] KANG Minyoung and SUN Gwang-Min, Modified Effective solid Angle Code for the Efficiency Calculation of Volume Sample, Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Autumn Meeting, Oct 25-26, 2012, Gyeongju, Korea.

[3] M.Y. Kang, J.H. Kim, H.D. Choi and G.M. Sun, Effective Solid Angle Method for the Efficiency Calculation of Voluminous Gamma-ray Source, IEEE NSS, Oct 27-Nov2, 2013, Seoul, Korea.

[4] M.Y. Kang, J.H. Kim, H.D. Choi and G.M. Sun, Semiempirical Determination of Detection Efficiency for Voluminous Source by Effective Solid Angle Method, Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Spring Meeting, May 29-30, 2014, jeju, Korea.

[5] M.Y. Kang, J.H. Kim, H.D. Choi and G.M. Sun, Semiempirical Determination of Detection Efficiency for Voluminous Source by Effective Solid Angle Approach, SORMA XV, Jun 9 ~ 12, 2014, University of Michigan, USA

[6] J Rodenas, A Pascual, I Zarza, V Serradell, J Ortiz, L Ballesteros, Analysis of the influence of germanium dead layer on detector calibration simulation for environmental radioactive samples using the Monte Carlo method, Nucl. Instr. And Meth. 496 (2003) 390.