Interaction of Liquid Film Flow of Direct Vessel Injection Under the Cross Directional Gas Flow

Han-sol Kim^a, Jae-young Lee^{a*}, Dong-Jin Euh^b

^aMecahnical and control engineering Handong Global University, 588 Handong-ro, Pohang 791-780 ^bKorea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-353 ^{*}Corresponding author: jylee378@gmail.com

1. Introduction

The present study investigates liquid film flow generated in a downcomer of direct vessel injection (DVI) system which is employed as an emergency core cooling (ECC) system during a loss of coolant accident in the Korea nuclear power plant APR1400. During the reflooding, complicated multi-phase late flow phenomena including the wavy film flow, film breakup, entrainment, liquid film shift due to interfacial drag and gas jet impingement occur. In order to obtain a proper scaling law of the flow, local information of the flow was investigated experimentally and also numerically. A series of experiments were conducted in the 1/20 modified linear scaled plate type test rig to analyze a liquid film from ECC water injection through the DVI nozzle to the downcomer wall. A confocal chromatic sensor was used to measure the local instantaneous liquid film thickness and a hydraulic jump in the film flow and boundaries of the film flow. It was found that CFD analysis results without surface tension model showed some difference with the data in surface tension dominated flow region. For the interaction between a liquid film and gas shear flow, CFD results make a good agreement with the real liquid film dynamics in the case of low film Reynolds number or low Weber number flow.

2. Methods and Results

2.1 1/20 modified scaling of plate DVI apparatus

In order to measure the water film deformation and entrainment phenomena, the 1/20 modified linear scaled plate type DVI apparatus was constructed. Fig. 1 presents the schematic and picture of experimental test rig. The test apparatus consists of an air inlet part with air blower, DVI injection nozzle, and a pump. A rotameter and a pitot tube were used for the measurement of velocity of liquid injection velocity and air injection velocity, respectively. Profile of the film deformation measured by using image processing. The chromatic confocal imaging method was used for the measurement of liquid film thicknesses.

A plate type experimental rig was used instead of a cylindrical geometry because the diameter of downcomer of APR1400 is larger than the diameter of the ECC injection nozzle. The test matrix is shown in the Table II.



Fig. 1. Picture of 1/20 scaled experimental apparatus

Information of the experimental setup (THE LAB)						
Parameter	Scale ratio	Present				
Length ratio	I_{R}	1/20				
Area ratio	I_R^2	1/400				
Time ratio	$I_{R}^{1/2}$	1/4.472				
Velocity ratio	$I_{R}^{1/2}$	1/4.472				
Flow rate ratio	$I_{R}^{1/2}$	1/447.2				
	Real condition	Scaled condition				
Water inlet velocity (m/s)	2	0.45				
Air velocity (m/s)	15~45	3.4~10				

TABLE I.

TABLE II. Experiment test matrix

Experiment test matrix				
ECC Injection Velocity	Air Injection Velocity			
[m/s]	[m/s]			
0.5	0, 5, 10, 20			

2.2 CFD of 1/20 scaled plate DVI apparatus with air

We prepared a set of numerical simulation for 1/20 scaled plate tests. It was different type simulation each because of existence of air injection. The simulation work was also conducted for 1/20 scaled plate DVI with the commercial CFD code ANSYS CFX 15.0. (Table VII). Unlike 1/10 simulation, hexahedral mesh was designed as shown in Fig. 2. Mesh has 197502 elements, 214375 nodes, 33 aspect ratios. Air as continuous morphology and water as dispersed fluid with 1mm of mean diameter option were set for steady state analysis.

To simulate interface between water and air, a model of standard free surface was adopted. However, it was adopted no surface tension model and no contacting angle option. For turbulent analysis, SST model was used. Details of numerical parameters are presented in the Table. III and Table IV.



Fig. 2. Numerical mesh of 1/20 scaled plate DVI

Fixed numerical parameters for CFX calculation (1/20)Numerical parameterValueAir morphologycontinuousAir Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.00001831Air Density (kg/m³)1.185Injection air velocity (m/s)5, 10, 20Water morphologyDispersed fluidWater mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	TABLE III.				
Numerical parameterValueAir morphologycontinuousAir Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.00001831Air Density (kg/m³)1.185Injection air velocity (m/s)5, 10, 20Water morphologyDispersed fluidWater mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Fixed numerical parameters for CFX calculation (1/20)				
Air morphologycontinuousAir Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.00001831Air Density (kg/m³)1.185Injection air velocity (m/s)5, 10, 20Water morphologyDispersed fluidWater mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Numerical parameter	Value			
Air Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.00001831Air Density (kg/m³)1.185Injection air velocity (m/s)5, 10, 20Water morphologyDispersed fluidWater mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Air morphology	continuous			
Air Density (kg/m³)1.185Injection air velocity (m/s)5, 10, 20Water morphologyDispersed fluidWater mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Air Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)	0.00001831			
Injection air velocity (m/s)5, 10, 20Water morphologyDispersed fluidWater mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Air Density (kg/m ³)	1.185			
Water morphologyDispersed fluidWater mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Injection air velocity (m/s)	5, 10, 20			
Water mean diameter (m)0.001Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Water morphology	Dispersed fluid			
Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)0.008899Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Water mean diameter (m)	0.001			
Water density998Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Water Dynamic viscosity (kg/m·s)	0.008899			
Injection water velocity (m/s)0.5, 0.9Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Water density	998			
Free surface modelstandardDrag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Injection water velocity (m/s)	0.5, 0.9			
Drag coefficientIshii and ZuberSurface tension coefficient0.072Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³)1.185Turbulence modelSST, homogeneousOutlet conditionOpening condition	Free surface model	standard			
Surface tension coefficient 0.072 Buoyancy reference density (kg/m³) 1.185 Turbulence model SST, homogeneous Outlet condition Opening condition	Drag coefficient	Ishii and Zuber			
Buoyancy reference density (kg/m ³) 1.185 Turbulence model SST, homogeneous Outlet condition Opening condition	Surface tension coefficient	0.072			
Turbulence model SST, homogeneous Outlet condition Opening condition	Buoyancy reference density (kg/m ³)	1.185			
Outlet condition Opening condition	Turbulence model	SST, homogeneous			
	Outlet condition	Opening condition			
Wall condition No slip wall	Wall condition	No slip wall			

TABLE IV.Geometry information of the CFD (1/20)parameterValue (1/20 scaled)Gap (mm)12.5Width (mm)1000Height (mm)500

Besides the output current, the MATLAB detector code calculates the detector capacitance. The calculated output current is the input for the rest of the detector channel and the detector capacitance is an important input parameter.

2.3 Results of water film deformation in the 1/20 scaled plate type DVI apparatus

A deformed spreading profile by the interaction between the ECC water injection and a gas shear flow was captured by digital camera. In the case of CFD analysis, deformed liquid film flow boundary was obtained by a distinguishing criteria which is that liquid volume fraction is larger than 0.1. As shown in the Fig. 3, certain hydraulic jump in the boundary was captured and the profile well matched with the experimental result. In the result, little shift of the liquid film and unstable wavy dynamics in the lower left region of the liquid film can be noted. However, the unstable wave dynamics could not be obtained due to the steady state analysis in the CFD results. The unstable region which shows intuitively that region of low volume fraction $(0.1 \sim 0.3)$ can be expressed the unstable region in the case of CFD.



Fig. 3. Deformation of the liquid film spreading profile in the case of 0.5 m/s ECC injection velocity

TABLE V.

Average Reynolds number of the result						
		Air injection velocity [m/s]				
		5	10	20		
ECC injection velocity [m/s]	0.5	213	504	560		
	0.9	179	171	273		

3. Conclusions

In the 1/20 scaled plate type experiment and simulation, the deformed spreading profile results seem to accord with each other at the relatively low We and Re regime. However, the result of the high air velocity experiment does not correspond to simulation because interaction between the inertia and surface tension forces of the airwater interface have not considered well for simulation

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was sponsored by Nation Research Foundation of Korea (NRF), granted financial resource

from the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning. (NRF- 2015M2A8A4021586)

REFERENCES

- J. H. Cha and H. G. Jun, "Evaluation of direct vessel injection design with pressurized thermal shock analysis", *J. Korean Nucl. Soc.*, Vol 24(1), p86-97 (1992)
- J.H. Cha, H.G. Jun, "An investigation of fluid mixing with direct vessel injection", J. Korean Nucl. Soc., Vol 26(1), p63-77 (1994)
- C.H. Song et al., "Thermal-hydraulic tests and analyses for the APR1400s development and licensing", *J. Korean Nucl. Soc.*, Vol. 39 (4), p299-312 (2007)
- Y.S. Bang et al., "Prediction of thermal-hydraulic phenomena in the LBLOCA experiment L2–3 using RELAP 5/MOD 2", *J. Korean Nucl. Soc.*, Vol. 23 (1), p. 56–65 (1991)
- Bang et al., "Assessment of RELAP 5/MOD 2 with LOFT L2–5 LBLOCA test", J. Korean Nucl. Soc., Vol. 21 (4), p259–267 (1989)
- Kwon et al., "Direct ECC bypass phenomena in the MIDAS test facility during LBLOCA reflood phase", J. Korean Nucl. Soc., Vol. 34 (5), p.421– 432 (2002)
- Kwon et al., "Three-dimensional analysis of flow characteristics on the reactor vessel downcomer during the late reflood phase of a postulated LBLOCA", *Nucl. Engi. Des.*, Vol.226, p255-265(2003)
- 8. B.J. Yun, et al., "Experimental observation on the hydraulic phenomena in the KNGR downcomer during LBLOCA reflood phase", *Proceedings of the Korean Nucl. Soc. Spring Meeting, Kyungju, Korea* (2000)
- 9. S. H. Yoon et al., "Jet Impingement Width Calculation for Flat Plate", *Proceedings of the Korean Nucl. Soc. Spring Meeting* (1999)
- Won J. Kim and Kune Y. Suh., "Air-water multidimensional impingement flow on vertical flat wall", *Nucl. Eng. and Des.*, Vol 239, p 913–932 (2009)
- 11. J. H. Yang et al., "Assessment of Liquid Film Width Model by Two-dimensional Liquid Film Experiment", *Proceedings of the Korean Nucl. Soc. Spring Meeting* (2013)
- B.J.Yun et al., "Scaling for the ECC bypass phenomenon during the LBLOCA reflood phase", *Nucl. Eng. Des.* Vol. 231, p. 315-325 (2004)
- Yun et al., "Air-water test on the direct ECC bypass during LBLOCA reflood phase with DVI: UPTF test 21-D counterpart test", J. Korean Nucl. Soc., Vol. 33 (3), p. 315–326 (2001)

- 14. Weiss, P., et al., "Summary of results from the UPTF downcomer injection/vent valve separate effects test", *MPR-1329* (1992)
- P. Weiss et al., "UPTF, A full scale PWR loss-ofcoolant accident experiment program", *Atomkernenergie Kerntechnik*, p. 61–66 (1986)