



# Monte Carlo estimation of the absorbed dose in computed tomography

@2016 KNS spring meeting

Jinwoo Kim, Hanbean Youn, Ho Kyung Kim

Radiation Imaging Laboratory, School of Mechanical Engineering Pusan National University, South Korea

## Outline

I. Introduction

#### II. Methods

- a. Numerical phantom
- b. Simulation geometry
- c. Data categorizing algorithm

#### **III.** Preliminary Results

- a. Absorbed energy distribution
- b. Relative dose
- IV. Discussion
- V. Conclusions



## Introduction



#### Introduction



Axial CT image of the abdomen



#### Selected Risks from Radiation Sickness



### Introduction



**Conventional CT dose index (CTDI)** 

- ✓ CTDI limitations
  - Standardized dimension
    - Head phantom ( $\Phi 16 \text{ cm}$ )
    - Body phantom ( $\Phi$ 32 cm)
  - Homogeneous composition
    - No distinction of organ
  - Measureable to only the average dose at particular location



### Introduction



Monte Carlo method



- $\checkmark$  CT dose estimation
  - Patient specific dose estimation
    Applicable to a variety of body shape
  - Organ dose estimation
    - Dose imparted to each organ
  - Relative dose estimation
    - Dose due to primary photons
    - Dose due to secondary photons

## Methods



#### Numerical phantom





Katia Sourbelle, Thorax phantom, Institute of Medical Physics (IMP)

## Numerical phantom

Part	Shape	Center	Dimension (cm)	Material	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
Thorax	Elliptical cylinder	<i>O</i> = [0,0,0]	$h = 50 \ r_{x,T} = 20 \ r_{y,T} = 10$	Soft tissue	1.00
Lung	Ellipsoid	$C_{L,L} = [-10.5,0,0]$ $C_{L,R} = [10.5,0,0]$	$r_{x,L} = 7.5$ $r_{y,L} = 5.5$ $r_{z,L} = 15$	Lung	0.26
Heart	Sphere	$C_{H} = [0,4,0]$	$r_{H} = 3.5$	(Striated) muscle	1.04
Spine	Cylinder	$C_{S} = [0, -5, 0]$	$r_{c} = 1.75$	(Cortical) bone	1.92

Table: Definition of the different parts of the phantom



XCOM: Photon Cross Sections Database, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)

#### Simulation geometry





### Data categorizing algorithm



Jinwoo Kim (jinwookim@pusan.ac.kr)

#### Workflow



Radiation Imaging Laboratory, Pusan National University Jinwoo Kim {jinwookim@pusan.ac.kr}

<sup>†</sup>Source, <sup>‡</sup>Termination

## Results



### Absorbed energy distribution





### Absorbed energy distribution





#### Organ dose



Relative absorbed energy of each organ

Relative absorbed dose of each organ



#### Reaction type – Tissue





#### Reaction type – Spine





## Discussion



### Discussion



#### Mass attenuation coefficient of soft tissue

Radiation Imaging Laboratory, Pusan National University Jinwoo Kim {jinwookim@pusan.ac.kr}

Photon Energy	<b>Compton</b> scattering	Photoelec. Absorption	Total wo/ coherent
5.00E-02	1.788E-01	2.878E-02	2.076E-01
6.00E-02	1.755E-01	1.583E-02	1.913E-01
8.00E-02	1.682E-01	6.160E-03	1.744E-01

#### Mass attenuation coefficient of soft tissue



**Relative absorbed dose of soft tissue region** 

### Discussion





Photon Energy	Compton scattering	Photoelec. Absorption	Total wo/ coherent
5.00E-02	1.632E-01	2.221E-01	3.853E-01
6.00E-02	1.609E-01	1.225E-01	2.864E-01
8.00E-02	1.552E-01	5.072E-02	2.060E-01

#### Mass attenuation coefficient of spine



**Relative absorbed dose of spine region** 

## Conclusions



### Conclusions



Secondary dose is more important than primary dose when radiation is used for low-Z material such as the human body.

- Thus, to estimate a radiation dose for the human body, we should not ignore the multiple-scattering.
- Further studies will be the validation of the algorithm with analytic calculation model and the study of dose distribution for the different CT scan conditions.

## Thanks for attention.

