

Application of Looped Network Analysis Method to Core of Prismatic VHTR

Jeong-Hun Lee, Hyoung-Kyu Cho, Goon-Cherl Park

Nuclear Thermal Hydraulic Engineering Lab. Seoul National University, Republic of Korea May 13, 2016





Contents

Introduction

- Looped Network Analysis Code
- Verification
- Flow Resistance Model for Core of VHTR
- Validation

Conclusions





Introduction

Very High Temperature Reactor

- Outlet temperature over 950 °C
- Working Fluid: He @7MPa

Core of PMR200

- Prismatic core (block type)
- Core element and moderator: Graphite
 - Capable of withstanding irradiation and high temperature
 - ✓ Shrinkage by irradiation fluence
 - ✓ Thermal expansion



Dimensional change of graphite with irradiation fluence



Side view

Top view

3

Introduction

Analysis of Flow Distribution in the VHTR Core





| | CFD | Network code | | |
|------------|---|---|--|--|
| Strengths | High accuracy Local information (Local flow field, flow separation) | Fast calculation results Low computational cost Easy to change gap conditions | | |
| Weaknesses | High computational cost and time Difficult to change gap conditions | Impossible to obtain local information | | |

Looped Network Analysis Code



The governing equations are based on Kirchhoff's circuit laws.

- 1) The algebraic sum of inflow and out flow discharges at a node is zero.
- 2) The algebraic sum of the head loss around a loop is zero.

Looped Network Analysis Code

Flow (Conservation of Mass)



Where a_{jn} is +1 for positive discharge flows in pipe n, -1 for negative discharge flows in pipe n, and 0 if pipe n is not connected to node j. The total pipes in the network are i_L .

Head Loss (Conservation of Momentum)

Linearization coefficient

$$F_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{kn} R_{kn} \left| Q_{kn} \right| Q_{kn} = 0 \quad \text{``Linear Theory Method''} \qquad F_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{k_{L}} b_{kn} Q_{kn} = 0$$

Where $b_{kn} = R_{kn}|Q_{kn}|$ if pipe *n* is in loop *k* or otherwise $b_{kn}=0$. The coefficient b_{kn} is revised with current flow rates for the next iteration.

$$h_{f} = RQ^{2} = f \frac{L}{D} \frac{V^{2}}{2g} \qquad R = \frac{fL}{2gDA^{2}}$$
Darcy-Weisbach equation
$$6 / 15$$

Example of Simple Looped Network using LTM



$$\begin{pmatrix} +1 & +1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & +1 \\ b_1 & b_2 & -b_3 & -b_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \\ Q_3 \\ Q_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{total} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
where $b_n = R_n |Q_n|$

Verification Case (2-D layer, one block)

Cross flow and lateral flow (through bypass gap)



- 7 nodes, 6 loops, 12 flow paths (12 by 12 matrix)
- Flow direction has to be determined when the network is modeled.
- The calculation shows proper results.

Verification

Verification Case (2-D layer, 7 blocks)



- 37 nodes, 54 loops, 90 flow paths (90 by 90 matrix)
- 7 block case also shows the proper results.
- Flow only pass through the open gap region.
- Flow distribution shows the bilateral symmetry.

Flow Resistance Model for Core of VHTR

Determination of Flow Resistance (R)

In the coolant channels (pipe flow)

$$R_{CH} = \frac{fL}{2gD_{CH}A_{CH}^2} \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{f^{1/2}} \approx -1.8\log\left[\frac{6.9}{\text{Re}_d} + \left(\frac{\varepsilon/d}{3.7}\right)^{1.11}\right]$$
Haaland (1983) equation

In the bypass gap (parallel plate flow path)

$$R_{BG} = \frac{fL}{2gD_{BGh}A_{BG}^2} \qquad D_{BGh} = \frac{4 \times Flow Area}{Wetted Perimeter} = 2 \times \delta_{BG}$$

In the cross gap (Lee et al., 2015)

$$R_{CG} = \rho \cdot \frac{C_1 / (\delta_{CG} \operatorname{Re}_{CG}) + C_2}{2A_{CG}^2} \qquad K = \frac{C_1}{\delta \operatorname{Re}} + C_2, \ R = \frac{K\rho}{2A^2}$$

| Wedge | | Parallel | | |
|-------|-----|----------|-----|--|
| C*1 | C*2 | C*1 | C*2 | |
| 0.61 | 3.5 | 0.65 | 3.5 | |







Cross flow experiment

Flow Resistance Model for Core of VHTR

Hydraulic Resistance between Layers

- Bypass gap sudden contraction
- Coolant channel converging flow



Validation



Validation

Validation Results



Validation





- The flow network analysis code slightly underestimates pressure drop.
- Considering the uncertainty of the experimental results, the flow network analysis code shows reasonable results.

- A flow network analysis code was developed to evaluate the core bypass flow distribution by using looped network analysis method.
- The flow network analysis code was validated with SNU multi-block experiment.
- The flow network analysis code predicted the flow distribution and pressure drop of the SNU multi-block experiment.
- It can be expected that the developed network code can contribute to assure the core thermal margin by predicting the bypass flow in the whole core of VHTR.
- Further work
 - Heat transfer module will be added on.

Thank You!

huny12@snu.ac.kr

Appendix



Side view

| n layers | Lateral network | n+1 | n+1 | | | |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | Vertical network | n | n | | | |
| Number of no | des | (numbe | (number of base nodes)·(n+1) | | | |
| Number of flo | w paths | (numbe | (number of base sides)·(n+1)+(number of base nodes)·n | | | |
| Number of loc | ps | (numbe | (number of base elements)·(n+1)+(number of base sides)·n | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Layers | ayers 0 | | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Pipes | 90 | 217 | 344 | 471 | 598 | 725 |
| Nodes | 37 | 74 | 111 | 148 | 185 | 222 |
| Loops | 54 | 198 | 342 | 486 | 630 | 774 |
| Equations | (37-1)+ (54) | (74-1)+ (198-54*1) | (111-1)+ (342-54*2) | (148-1)+ (486-54*3) | (185-1)+ (630-54*4) | (222-1)+ (774-54*5) |

Appendix



Bypass Gap 2 mm – Cross Gap 0 mm, Bypass Gap 6 mm – Cross Gap 0 mm



Appendix

Bypass Gap 6 – 2 – 4 – 2 mm – Cross Gap 2 mm



Graphite bocks

Comparison of Fuel Block Type (Groehn 1981, Kaburaki 1990)

| | German HTGR | Japanese HTTR | PMR200 |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|
| Block type | Multi-hole type | Pin-in-block type (annular coolant hole) | Multi-hole type |
| Number of coolant channel | 72 | 12, 15, 33 | 108 |
| Channel diameter | 18 mm 53 mm, 56 mm, 42 mm | | 16 mm |
| Cross section of fuel block | | Dowel Do | |

⇒ different leakage perimeter

 \Rightarrow different cross flow loss coefficient

17 440

· Area of the cross gan

Λ

Existing cross flow loss coefficient correlations

• H. G. Groehn (1981)

$$K = \left(\frac{A_{Gap}}{A_{CH}}\right)^2 \left[3.58 \left(\frac{\delta}{D_{CH}}\right)^{-2.3} \cdot 6.33 \left(\frac{A_{Gap}}{\delta \cdot l}\right)^{-1.68}\right]^{-1.68} \right] \qquad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ D_{CH} \\ \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} A_{Gap} \\ A_{CH} \\ \delta \\ D_{CH} \\ D_{CH$$

- Since Groehn's experiments is for turbulence (4200 < Re < 160000), the correlation includes only geometrical information but flow information.
- Hideo Kaburaki (1990)

| K | $C = \left(\frac{A_{Gap}}{\delta}\right)^2$ | $\left(\frac{C_1}{\delta \operatorname{Re}_{Gap}}\right)$ | $+C_2$ | Dowel | | 50 Coelant Coe |
|---|---|---|----------------|--------|------------|---|
| | | C ₁ | C ₂ | | 10000 | |
| | Type I | 0.67 | 3.13 | | | 0000 |
| | Type II | 0.90 | 2.0 | Type I | Type II | Type III |
| | Type III | 0.78 | 1.7 | iype i | · y P C 11 | 1990 m |



