# Scaling analysis of various simulants for sodium thermal-hydraulic experiment in a reduced-height scale test facility

Dong Eok Kim<sup>a\*</sup>, Jae Min Choi<sup>a</sup>, Jaehyuk Eoh<sup>b</sup>, Moo Hwan Kim<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Precision Mechanical Engineering, Kyungpook National University, Sangju, South Korea <sup>b</sup>Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Daejon, South Korea <sup>c</sup>Division of Advanced Nuclear Engineering, POSTECH, Pohang, South Korea <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: dekim@knu.ac.kr

### 1. Introduction

Sodium-cooled Fast Reactor (SFR) is one of the promising reactor types for Generation IV (Gen IV) nuclear reactor technology. During the past decades, several countries with advanced nuclear reactor technology had constructed and operated the SFR, and currently, China, France, India, Korea, and Russia have actively conducted the R&D works for advanced SFR development.

In the design of a SFR safety system, thermalhydraulic behavior of liquid sodium (Na) flow inside the reactor is essential. Especially in an accident condition, such as LOOP (Loss Of Off-site Power), passive Decay Heat Removal System (DHRS) should be readily operated with sufficient natural circulation sodium flow induced by gravity. Since the natural circulation behavior of a fluid system is determined under the closely coupled mechanism of heat transfer and hydraulic effects, the experimental validation of the natural circulation system based on rigorous scaling analysis is needed. In practice, since the reduced geometrical scaling is unavoidable for simulating the real phenomena in a large-scale reactor, the selection of same fluid (sodium) as an experimental working fluid would be recommended for reliability and simplification of the analysis and application of the experimental results. However, in the lab-scale experiments by academic or small research group, it is difficult to use liquid sodium as a working fluid due to the risk of sodium-water reaction (SWR) and thereby high safety cost. Hence, the use of simulant fluids and its examination in terms of scaling effect are required.

In this study, we simply introduce the scaling analysis method for single-phase natural circulation system, and investigate the scaling characteristics of various simulants for practical application as working fluids.

## 2. Methods and Results

First of all, we postulate a simple loop of singlephase natural circulation with a heating region, a cooling region, and the pipe lines (Fig. 1). In this loop, the natural circulation flow is generated by gravity effect due to the density difference between the heating and cooling regions placed in lower and higher positions, respectively. For establishing the nondimensional mass, momentum, and energy conservation equations, and deriving the key similarity parameters, we utilized the one-dimensional and single-phase dimensional analysis approach by Heisler [1], and Ishii and Kataoka [2].



Fig. 1. Schematics of a single-phase natural circulation loop.

# 2.1 Scaling analysis

Eq.(1)-(5) are the one-dimensional simplified conservation equations and the boundary condition [2].

Continuity equation

$$u_n = \frac{a_o}{a_n} u_r \tag{1}$$

Integral momentum equation

$$\rho \frac{du_r}{dt} \sum_n \frac{a_o}{a_n} I_n = \rho g \beta \Delta T I_h - \frac{\rho u_r^2}{2} \sum_n \left( \frac{f I}{d} + K \right)_n \left( \frac{a_o}{a_n} \right)^2$$
(2)

Fluid-side energy equation for nth section

$$\rho c_{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) = \frac{4h}{d} (T_{s} - T)$$
(3)

Solid-side energy equation for nth section

$$\rho_{s}c_{\rho s}\frac{\partial I_{s}}{\partial t}+k_{s}\nabla^{2}T_{s}-\dot{q}_{s}=0$$
(4)

Boundary condition at the solid-liquid interface

$$-k_{s}\frac{\partial T_{s}}{\partial x} = h(T_{s} - T)$$
(5)

where u, a,  $\rho$ , t, g,  $\beta$ ,  $\Delta T$ , l, d, f, K,  $c_{\rho}$ , T, h, k, and  $\dot{q}_{s}$  are the fluid velocity, cross-sectional area, density, time, gravity acceleration, volume expansion coefficient, fluid temperature rise, length, hydraulic diameter, friction factor, minor loss coefficient, specific heat capacity, temperature, heat transfer coefficient, thermal conductivity, and volumetric heat generation rate inside solid, respectively. And, subscripts o, r, h, and s denote the reference constant value, representative variable, heating region, and solid, respectively. From the above five equations, we can obtain the non-dimensional equations, and then the key similarity groups can be defined in Table I.

Table I. Non-dimensional numbers for the similarity

Richardson number	$Ri \equiv g\beta\Delta T_o I_o / u_o^2$
Friction number	$F_n \equiv \left( f l / d + K \right)_n$
modified Stanton number	$St_n \equiv \left(4hl_o/(\rho c_p u_o d)\right)_n$
Time ratio number	$T_n^* \equiv \left( \alpha_s I_o / (\delta^2 u_o) \right)_n$
Biot number	$Bi_n \equiv (h\delta/k_s)_n$
heat source number	$\boldsymbol{Q}_{sn} \equiv \left( \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}_{s} \boldsymbol{I}_{o} / (\boldsymbol{\rho}_{s} \boldsymbol{c}_{ps} \boldsymbol{u}_{o} \Delta \boldsymbol{T}_{o}) \right)_{n}$

where  $\alpha_s$  and  $\delta$  are the thermal diffusivity of solid and the conduction (wall) thickness in heating region. In addition to Table I, non-dimensional axial scales,  $L_n = I_n/I_o$  and  $L_h = I_h/I_o$ , and cross-sectional flow area scale,  $A_n = a_n/a_o$  can be defined. In Table I, the reference velocity ( $u_o$ ) and temperature rise ( $\Delta T_o$ ) scales are utilized, and those should be obtained in advance for the scaling analysis. Ishii and Kataoka [2] had presented the solutions for  $u_o$  and  $\Delta T_o$  by setting the heating region as a representative section. Thus, the solution of  $u_o$  could be obtained with steady-state calculation of Eq. (2), and that of  $\Delta T_o$  was given by steady-state energy balance consideration between solid and fluid regions

$$u_{o} = \left\{ \beta \left( \frac{\dot{q}_{o}I_{o}}{\rho c_{p}} \right) I_{h} \left( \frac{a_{so}}{a_{o}} \right) / \left( \frac{1}{2g} \sum_{n} \frac{F_{n}}{A_{n}^{2}} \right) \right\}^{1/3},$$
(7)

$$\Delta T_o = \frac{\dot{q}_o I_o}{\rho c_p u_o} \left( \frac{a_{so}}{a_o} \right), \tag{8}$$

where  $a_s$  is the solid cross-sectional area.

For satisfying complete similarity between model and prototype systems, the ratio of dimensionless numbers in Table I should be

$$Ri_{R} = F_{nR} = St_{nR} = T_{nR}^{*} = Bi_{nR} = Q_{snR} = 1$$
(9)

where subscript R denotes the ratio of model to prototype.

Assuming that the similarity condition,  $F_{nR} = 1$  can be unconditionally satisfied by inserting suitable orifice into the model loop, the geometrical similarities for the axial length ( $L_{nR} = 1$ ) and flow cross-sectional area ( $A_{nR} = 1$ ) give the complete kinematic and dynamic similarity. In this case, by substituting Eq. (10) and (11) into Eq. (12),  $Ri_R = 1$  can be automatically established.

$$u_{oR} = \frac{u_{om}}{u_{op}} = \left\{ \dot{q}_{oR} \left( \frac{\beta}{\rho c_p} \right)_R \frac{\delta_{oR}}{d_{oR}} l_{oR}^2 \right\}^{1/3},$$
(10)

$$\Delta T_{oR} = \frac{\Delta T_{om}}{\Delta T_{op}} = \dot{q}_{oR} \left(\frac{1}{\rho c_p}\right)_R \frac{I_{oR}}{u_{oR}} \frac{\delta_{oR}}{d_{oR}}, \qquad (11)$$

$$Ri_{R} = \beta_{R} \Delta T_{oR} I_{oR} \frac{1}{u_{oR}^{2}}.$$
(12)

where subscripts m and p denote the model and prototype.

In the next stage, the energy similarity conditions  $(St_{nR} = T_{nR}^* = Bi_{nR} = Q_{snR} = 1)$  should be considered. It is noted that the Stanton number similarity is automatically satisfied when other three requirements for  $T_{nR}^*$ ,  $Bi_{nR}$ , and  $Q_{snR}$  are established. Through using the same solid materials between the model and prototype, and satisfying the above geometrical similarities, we can the detailed energy similarity conditions

$$T_{nR}^{*} = I_{oR} / (u_{oR} / \delta_{nR}^{2}) = 1, \qquad (13)$$

$$Bi_{R} = h_{R}\delta_{nR} = 1, \qquad (14)$$

$$\boldsymbol{Q}_{\text{sor}} = (\rho \boldsymbol{c}_{\rho})_{R} \boldsymbol{d}_{oR} / \boldsymbol{\delta}_{oR} = 1.$$
(15)

From eqs. (13)-(15), the scaling ratios for key parameters can be obtained

$$\delta_{R} = \sqrt{\frac{I_{R}}{u_{R}}}, \qquad (16)$$

$$d_{R} = \frac{1}{(\rho c_{\rho})_{R}} \sqrt{\frac{I_{R}}{u_{R}}}, \qquad (17)$$

$$u_{R} = (\dot{q}_{R}\beta_{R}l_{R}^{2})^{1/3}, \qquad (18)$$

$$\Delta T_{R} = \dot{q}_{R} \frac{I_{R}}{u_{R}}, \qquad (19)$$

$$t_R = \frac{I_R}{u_R}, \qquad (20)$$

$$h_R = \frac{1}{d_R} = \sqrt{\frac{u_R}{l_R}} \,. \tag{21}$$

where  $t_{R}$  is the time scaling ratio, and subscript *o* was omitted for simplicity.

The heat transfer coefficient scaling ratio in Eq. (21),  $h_R$  is closely related to the temperature change across the thermal boundary layer at liquid-solid interface in the heating region, and the value is a strong function of the flow structure and fluid thermo-physical properties. Generally, a correlation for h is represented in terms of the Nusselt number, Nu = hd/k. In this study, through the approximation of the laminar flow or the liquid metal flow with low velocity, it is assumed that the Nu is a constant value. From this assumption, the real scaling ratio of the heat transfer coefficient can be determined as Eq. (22). Therefore, for the experimental design of reduced-scale model, the heat transfer

similarity by Eqs. (21) and (22) should be carefully considered.

$$h_{R,cor} = \frac{k_R}{d_R} = (\rho c_\rho k)_R \sqrt{\frac{u_R}{l_R}}$$
(22)

### 2.2 Selection of various simulant fluids

In this study, for selecting the simulant fluids of liquid sodium, melting and boiling point, toxicity, fluid-towater reactivity, procurement cost, and thermo-physical properties with termperature were considered. The selected simulants are water (H<sub>2</sub>O), Galinstan (Ga-In-Sn eutectic alloy), Lead-Bismuth eutectic (LBE, Pb-Bi), Bismuth (Bi), Tin-Bismuth alloy (Sn-Bi), Gallium (Ga), Tin (Sn), and Dowtherm A fluid by Dow company. All fluids except water and Dowtherm A [3-8] are liquid metals. Table II shows the thermo-physical properties of the simulants, and the property data were selected as the values at atmospheric pressure and moderate temperature range between the boiling and melting points. In this table,  $T_m$  and  $T_b$  are the melting and boiling point of the material.

Table II. Thermo-physical properties of selected simulants

	$T_m (^{\circ}C)$	$T_b$ (°C)	$\rho$ (kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	$\beta$ (1/K)	$c_p (J/kgK)$	<i>k</i> (W/mK)
Sodium [3] ( $T = 500^{\circ}$ C)	97.8	889.8	831.8	0.000285	1264.5	69.3
Water [4] ( $T = 60^{\circ}$ C)	0	100	983.2	0.000535	4185	0.65
Galinstan [6] ( $T = 300^{\circ}$ C)	-19	1300	6332	0.000123	295	35.5
LBE, Pb-Bi [3] ( $T = 500^{\circ}$ C)	127.5	1638	10102.7	0.000113	141.4	13.9
Bi [3] $(T = 500^{\circ} \text{C})$	271.4	1551.8	9749.9	0.000143	135.4	14.7
Sn-Bi [4] ( $T = 300^{\circ}$ C)	139	> 526.8	8504.6	0.000163	213	16.6
Ga [5] $(T = 300^{\circ} \text{C})$	29.8	2400	5893.3	0.000105	385.2	44.1
Sn [7] ( $T = 500^{\circ}$ C)	231.9	2602	6798	0.0000953	240	30
Dowtherm A [8] ( $T = 150^{\circ}$ C)	12	257.1	952.2	0.00093	1940	0.118

#### 2.3 Scaling analysis results for the various simulants

For the reduced-scale experiment of a large-scale thermo-hydraulic system, the preceded determination of length scaling ratio,  $l_R$  is necessary, and also the available heating power is an important factor for the experimental design. In this study, for observing the scaling behaviors on the various simulants, the following assumptions are given as

 $-I_{R}=0.1$ 

 $-\dot{q}_{oR} = 1$ 

Fig. 2 shows the scaling ratios of velocity and the

temperature rise for the simulants. From Eq. (18), the natural circulation velocity and the temperature rise scales of a fluid strongly depend on  $\beta_{R}$ . The two parameters in the model experiments would be significantly lower than those in the prototype as well. Fig. 3 shows the scaling ratios of the conduction thickness and the hydraulic diameter. Both  $\delta_R$  and  $d_R$ values are smaller than the unity in all test simulants, i.e. for thermal-hydraulic similarity condition, the thickness of the heating wall and the hydraulic diameter should be reduced in the design of model experiments. Especially in the case of water, the hydraulic diameter is significantly smaller than that of the prototype. In the reduced-scale experiment, it can rise an excessive scale reduction problem, and the unconditional satisfaction for friction number similarity might not be achieved in a sodium-to-water simulation experiment.

<sup>-</sup> same solid material usage



Fig. 2. Scaling ratios of Velocity  $(u_R)$  and temperature rise  $(\Delta T_R)$  for various simulants.





Fig. 3. Scaling ratios of conduction thickness ( $\delta_R$ ) and hydraulic diameter ( $d_R$ ) for various simulants.



Fig. 4. Scaling ratios of the heat transfer coefficient by the Biot number similarity ( $h_R$ ) and general correlation ( $h_{R,cor}$ ).

Fig. 4 shows the scaling ratios of the heat transfer coefficients by the Biot number similarity and general correlation. Although the  $h_{R}$  according to the Biot number similarity is larger than the unity in all candidate fluids due to the reduction of hydraulic

dimeter, the practical values ( $h_{R,cor}$ ) of the heat transfer coefficient scaling ratios obtained from the calucations with water, LBE, Bi, Sn-Bi, and Dowtherm A is significantly lower than the unity. It comes mainly from the thermal conductivity values of the simulants. Particularly in the cases of water and Dowtherm A, the values of  $h_{R,cor}$  drastically decreased when compared to those of other liquid metal simulants. Therefore, although the fluids, such as water and Dowtherm A have easier operability and accessibility, the utilization of the fluids as the simulants for the SFR natural circulation experiments requires more special attention in terms of the thermal-hydraulic similarity. And,  $h_{R,cor}$ values of Galinstan, Ga, and Sn showed the relative

#### **3.** Conclusions

similarity to the  $h_{R}$  values of them.

In this study, the thermal and hydraulic scaling characteristics of various simulants were investigated for the purpose of replicating natural circulation system in an SFR. Since the natural circulation phenomenon is generated by the closely coupled effects of both hydrodynamic and thermal behaviors of working fluid, the attentive selection of the simulant fluids based on the rigorous scaling considerations is needed. And, since these works were based on the simple onedimensional approach, CFD analyses for verifying the results obtained from this study would be recommended as a future work.

#### ACKNOWLEGEMENT

This work was supported by the Nuclear Energy Research Infrastructure Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (2016M2B2B1944982).

#### REFERENCES

[1] M. P. Heisler, Development of scaling requirements for natural convection liquid-metal fast breeder reactor Shutdown Heat Removal Test Facilities, Nucl. Sci. Eng., Vol. 80, p. 347, 1982.

[2] M. Ishii and I. Kataoka, Scaling laws for thermalhydraulic system under single phase and two-phase circulation, Nucl. Eng. Des., Vol. 81, p. 411, 1984.

[3] V. Sobolev, Database of thermophysical properties of liquid metal coolants for GEN-IV, Scientific report of the Belgian nuclear research center, SCK CEN-BLG-1069.

[4] Thermophysical properties of fluid systems, NIST standard reference data, http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/fluid/

[5] P. L. Kirillov, Thermophysical properties of materials for nuclear engineering, Institute for heat and mass transfer in nuclear power plants, Obninsk, 2006.

[6] Y. Plevachuk et al., Thermophysical properties of the liquid Ga-In-Sn eutectic alloy, J. Chem. Eng. Data, Vol.59, p.757, 2014.

[7] T. Gancarz et al., A comparison of surface tension, visocity, and density of Sn and Sn-Ag alloys using different measurement techniques, Int. J. Thermophys, Vol.32, p.1210, 2011.

[8] Product technical data of Dowtherm A heat transfer fluid, http://www.dow.com/heattrans.