# Validation of KAIST-STA (System Transient Analysis) Code with CO<sub>2</sub> Experimental Data under the Trans-critical Operating Condition.

Seong Jun Bae<sup>a</sup>, Jinsu Kwon<sup>a</sup>, Jeong Ik Lee<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Dept. Nuclear & Quantum Eng., KAIST, 373-1, Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea \*Corresponding author: <u>jeongiklee@kaist.ac.kr</u>

## 1. Introduction

A power conversion system using  $CO_2$  as a working fluid over the critical point of  $CO_2$  is known to achieve high performance with its compact footprint, simple layout and no phase change. This promising system, typically called a S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton cycle, is being studied for various power generation applications including the next generation nuclear systems. These advantages of S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton cycle mainly come from its lower compressing work by pressurizing the CO<sub>2</sub> near the critical point. However, this also implies that the system can be operating under two-phase sub-critical state during transients [1].

A few studies on the S-CO<sub>2</sub> system transient analysis with analytical codes have been previously carried out [2-4]. However, the analysis for the CO<sub>2</sub> two-phase analysis near the critical point is very rare. To overcome the two-phase errors in system analysis codes and lack of research near the critical point of CO<sub>2</sub>, the authors have been developing a 1-D system dynamic analysis code using Homogeneous Equilibrium Model (HEM), KAIST-STA (KAIST-System Transient called Analysis) code [5]. Some research works of using the HEM to a simulation of CO<sub>2</sub> expansion inside twophase ejectors for refrigeration systems can be found in the open literature. These studies shows the HEM is a good assumption for the flow near or above the CO<sub>2</sub> critical point [6-11]. S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton cycles are typically designed to operate closely above the critical point at the main compressor, the minimum temperature and pressure state of cycle, the cycle conditions wouldn't be far from the critical point even under transient conditions. Thus, HEM is adopted in the KAIST-STA. The field equations of KAIST-STA code for continuity, momentum and energy conservations are represented below.

1. Continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho V) = 0 \tag{0}$$

2. Energy conservation equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho H) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho HV) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial t} + V \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial q''}{\partial x} + q_w'' \quad (2)$$
3. Momentum conservation equation
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho V) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho VV) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} - \rho g - \rho \left(\frac{f}{t} + K\right) V^2 (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho V) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho V V) = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial x} - \rho g - \rho \left(\frac{f}{d} + K\right) V^2(3)$$

The developing code was verified with the GAMMA+ code as a reference, developed as a gas system transient analysis code in KAERI [12-13]. In this study, a validation result of KAIST-STA code is presented. The experimental data is from the  $CO_2$  compressing test facility called SCO<sub>2</sub>PE (Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Pressurizing Experiment) at KAIST.

## 2. Modeling and Validation Results

Prior to the simulation of whole SCO2PE facility, the major components, the canned motor type compressor and PCHE type heat exchanger, were separately modeled and simulated with KAIST-STA code. It is the first validation of KAIST-STA code with an experimental data under the critical point of CO<sub>2</sub>, so the test facility is modeled as an opened system as shown in Fig. 1. The loop is divided at the pipe between the expansion valve and the heat exchanger, and the both boundary conditions were set as time dependent volumes considering the experimental data in accordance with the time. Thus, the pressures, temperatures and the mass flow rates of CO<sub>2</sub> were provided in the boundary volumes via lookup tables, and also the pressure drop in the expansion valve was inserted as an input data due to the lack of information of the glove valve for modeling.



Fig 1. Nodalization of SCO2PE test facility for modeling with KAIST-STA code

There are 3 measuring points in the facility, the compressor inlet, compressor outlet and the heat exchanger inlet. In this study, it is set up such that the compressor inlet condition is 33.35 °C, 7.41Mpa and 0.96kg/s of CO<sub>2</sub> mass flow rate at steady-state, and the cooling water mass flow rate increases from 0.055kg/s at the steady-state condition to 0.15kg/s for going through CO<sub>2</sub> 2 phase state.

Fig. 2 shows the cooling water mass flow rate variation versus time.



Fig 2. The cooling water mass flow rate variation versus time

Figs. 3-5 show the validation results between the experimental data (the black rounded symbols) to KAIST-STA code (the solid lines) at the compressor inlet point. The PCHE experimental correlations of SCO2PE facility were used in the code. Fig. 6 is the variation of the cooling water outlet temperature. The compression result shows that the developed code with the PCHE correlation can predict the PCHE heat transfer performance with a good agreement. Fig. 7 represents the compressor inlet condition variation during the transient state. It is shown that the code can simulate the system with a similar tendency not only in the single-phase situation but also for the 2 phase-state.



Fig 3. Pressure trend comparison at the  $CO_2$  compressor inlet of SCO2PE



Fig 4. Temperature trend comparisonat the  $CO_2$  compressor inlet of SCO2PE



Fig 5. Quality trend comparison at the  $CO_2$  compressor inlet of SCO2PE



Fig 6. Temperature trend comparison at the cooling water outlet of the PCHE



Fig 7. T-s diagram of the experiment and KAIST-STA code analysis at the compressor inlet point

Figs. 8 and 9 are the validation results of compressor outlet pressure and temperature. The code results show quite good agreement compared to the experimental data.



Fig 8. Pressure trend comparison at the CO<sub>2</sub> compressor outlet of SCO2PE



Fig 9. Temperature trend comparison at the  $CO_2$  compressor outlet of SCO2PE

### 3. Conclusions

In this study, the validation of KAIST-STA code with a trans-critical  $CO_2$  experimental data going through the supercritical-state to 2 phase-state is presented. Since the authors have experienced some limitations for modeling the test facility due to lack of information, the test facility is initially modeled as an open system with time dependent volumes.

The validation results are satisfactory as shown in the results. The T-s diagram shows that the code using HEM shows a good agreement for this case comparing with the experimental data not in the single-phase situation but also within the 2 phase-state.

This is a simple approach to validate a system code with experimental data. To perform more reasonable validation with the experiment, the calculation with a closed loop modeling will be continuously carried out as the next step.

### REFERENCES

[1] Y. Ahn, J. I. Lee, Study of various Brayton cycle design for small modular sodium-cooled fast reactor. Nucl. Eng. Des. 276, 128–141, 2014

[2] M. J. Hexemer, Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton Recompression Cycle Design and Control Features to Support Startup and Operation, SCO<sub>2</sub> Power Cycle Symposium, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA, Sep 9-10, 2014.

[3] K. D. Rahner, S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton Loop Transient Modeling, SCO<sub>2</sub> Power Cycle Symposium, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA, Sep 9-10, 2014.

[4] A. Moisseytsev and J. J. Sienicki, Recent Developments In S-CO<sub>2</sub> Cycle Dynamic Modeling And Analysis at ANL, SCO<sub>2</sub> Power Cycle Symposium, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA, Sep 9-10, 2014.

[5] S. J. Bae, W. W. Lee, B. Oh and J. I. Lee, Development of the System Dynamics Code using Homogeneous Equilibrium Model for S-CO2 Brayton cycle Transient Analyses, KNS Autumn Meeting, Gyeongju, Korea, Oct 27-28, 2016.

[6] Chun-Lu Zhang, Liang Yang, Modeling of Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Flow Through Short Tube Orifices, Journal of Fluids Engineering, Vol. 127, pp. 1194-1198, 2005.

[7] J. Smolka et al. A computational model of a transcritical R744 ejector based on a homogeneous real fluid approach, Applied Mathematical Modeling, Vol. 37, pp. 1208-1224, 2013.

[8] C. Lucas et al., Numerical investigation of a two-phase CO2 ejector, Int. J. Refrig, Vol. 43, pp. 154-166, 2014.

[9] M. Palacz et al., Application range of the HEM approach for CO2 expansion inside two-phase ejectors for supermarket refrigeration systems, Int. J. Refrig, Vol. 59, pp. 251-258, 2015.

[10] M. Palacz et al., CFD-based shape optimisation of a CO<sub>2</sub> two-phase ejector mixing section, Applied Mathematical Modeling, Vol. 95, pp. 62-69, 2016.

[11] M. Palacz et al., A comparison of homogeneous equilibrium and relaxation model for  $CO_2$  expansion inside the two-phase ejector, Journal of Physics, Vol. 745, 2016.

[12] H.S. Lim, H.C. No, GAMMA+ multidimensional multicomponent mixture analysis to predict air ingress phenomena in an HTGR, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 152, 2006 87–97.

[13] H.S. Lim, Transient multicomponent mixture analysis for air ingress phenomena in a high-temperature gas-cooled reactor (HTGR), KAIST doctoral thesis, 2005.