

Development of a New Point Kinetic Equation with α -Adjoint Weighted Kinetics Parameters

Donghun Kim, Hyung Jin Shim*

Nuclear Eng. Dept., Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Korea

*Corresponding author: shimhj@snu.ac.kr

1. Introduction

Nowadays the accelerator-driven subcritical system (ADS) has been widely studied as a candidate of transmutation reactor.[1] Applications of the conventional point kinetics equation (PKE) [2] using the k -adjoint weighted kinetics parameters can be invalid for the time-dependent ADS analysis because it assumes that the reference system is critical.[3,4] In order to increase the accuracy of the point kinetics analysis for an ADS, Gandini and Salvatores [3] suggested a PKE using an importance function associated with the relative power level in a subcritical system and Nishihara et al. [4] proposed a PKE using kinetics parameters weighted by Green's function [5].

In this paper, we propose a new PKE with kinetics parameters weighted by the α -adjoint flux, solution to the adjoint α -mode eigenvalue equation, because the α -mode eigenvalue equation can accurately represent an off-critical system. In addition, algorithms to calculate the α -adjoint weighted kinetics parameters in the Monte Carlo (MC) α iteration method [6] are presented and tested in an infinite homogeneous 2-group problem.

2. Methods

2.1 New point kinetic equation

The time-dependent neutron transport equation and the delayed neutron precursor density equation can be expressed as

$$\frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = -\mathbf{L}\phi + \mathbf{F}_p\phi + \sum_i \lambda_i c_i + Q, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} = \beta_i \mathbf{F}\phi - \lambda_i c_i; \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}\phi = & [\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \nabla + \Sigma_r(\mathbf{r}, E, t)]\phi(\mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{\Omega}, t) \\ & - \int dE' \int d\mathbf{\Omega}' \Sigma_s(E', \mathbf{\Omega}' \rightarrow E, \mathbf{\Omega} | \mathbf{r}, t)\phi(\mathbf{r}, E', \mathbf{\Omega}', t), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_p\phi = \int dE' \int d\mathbf{\Omega}' \frac{\chi_p(E)}{4\pi} \nu_p(E') \Sigma_f(\mathbf{r}, E', t)\phi(\mathbf{r}, E', \mathbf{\Omega}', t), \quad (4)$$

$$\mathbf{F}\phi = \int dE' \int d\mathbf{\Omega}' \frac{\chi(E)}{4\pi} \nu(E') \Sigma_f(\mathbf{r}, E', t)\phi(\mathbf{r}, E', \mathbf{\Omega}', t). \quad (5)$$

$c_i(\mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{\Omega}, t)$ is defined as $\frac{\chi_i}{4\pi} C_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$ where $C_i(\mathbf{r}, t)$

denotes the delayed neutron precursor density of group i . Other notations follow standard.

For the further derivation, the i -th delayed neutron production operator, \mathbf{F}_i is defined by

$$\mathbf{F}_i\phi = \int dE' \int d\mathbf{\Omega}' \frac{\chi_i(E)}{4\pi} \nu(E') \Sigma_f(\mathbf{r}, E', t)\phi(\mathbf{r}, E', \mathbf{\Omega}', t), \quad (6)$$

where $\chi_i(E)$ is the fission spectrum for the i -th precursor group.

The adjoint form of the α -mode eigenvalue equation for a reference state of the subcritical system can be expressed as [2]

$$\frac{\alpha_0}{v} \phi_0^\dagger = -\mathbf{L}_0^\dagger \phi_0^\dagger + \mathbf{F}_0^\dagger \phi_0^\dagger; \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_0^\dagger \phi_0^\dagger = & [-\mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \nabla + \Sigma_{r0}(\mathbf{r}, E)]\phi_0^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{\Omega}) \\ & - \int dE' \int d\mathbf{\Omega}' \Sigma_{s0}(E, \mathbf{\Omega} \rightarrow E', \mathbf{\Omega}' | \mathbf{r})\phi_0^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, E', \mathbf{\Omega}'), \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_0^\dagger \phi_0^\dagger = \int dE' \int d\mathbf{\Omega}' \frac{\chi(E')}{4\pi} \nu(E') \Sigma_{f0}(\mathbf{r}, E)\phi_0^\dagger(\mathbf{r}, E', \mathbf{\Omega}'), \quad (9)$$

where ϕ_0^\dagger denotes the α -adjoint flux and the subscript "0" indicates the reference state of the subcritical system.

By multiplying Eq. (1) by ϕ_0^\dagger and Eq. (7) by ϕ , subtracting the resulting equations and integrating it over $(\mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{\Omega})$, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right\rangle - \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\alpha_0}{v} \phi \right\rangle = & -\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \mathbf{L}\phi \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \mathbf{F}_p\phi \right\rangle \\ & + \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \sum_i \lambda_i c_i \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, Q \right\rangle \quad (10) \\ & + \left\langle \phi, \mathbf{L}_0^\dagger \phi_0^\dagger \right\rangle - \left\langle \phi, \mathbf{F}_0^\dagger \phi_0^\dagger \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now let us separate the angular flux ϕ into the amplitude function $P(t)$ and the shape function $\psi(\mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{\Omega}, t)$ as

$$\phi(\mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{\Omega}, t) = P(t) \cdot \psi(\mathbf{r}, E, \mathbf{\Omega}, t). \quad (11)$$

Then insertions of Eq. (11) into the left hand side (LHS) of Eq. (10) give

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \right\rangle - \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\alpha_0}{v} \phi \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{dP}{dt} \cdot \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle + P \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle - P \cdot \alpha_0 \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle \quad (12) \\ &= \frac{dP}{dt} \cdot \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle - P \cdot \alpha_0 \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the following normalization condition is applied to the second equality:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle = 0. \quad (13)$$

By inserting $\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \sum_i \beta_i \mathbf{F}_i \phi \right\rangle - \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \sum_i \beta_i \mathbf{F}_i \phi \right\rangle$ in the right hand side (RHS) of Eq. (10) and using Eqs. (10) and (12), a new ϕ_0^\dagger weighted PKE is obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP(t)}{dt} &= \left[\Delta\alpha(t) - \frac{\beta_{eff}(t)}{\Lambda_{eff}(t)} \right] P(t) + \alpha_0 P(t) \quad (14) \\ &+ \sum_i \lambda_i C_i(t) + Q(t); \\ \Delta\alpha(t) &\equiv \frac{1}{\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle} \left[-\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, (\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{L}_0) \psi \right\rangle + \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{F}_0) \psi \right\rangle \right], \quad (15) \end{aligned}$$

$$\beta_{eff}(t) \equiv \sum_i \beta_{eff,i}(t), \quad (16)$$

$$\beta_{eff,i}(t) \equiv \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \beta_i \mathbf{F}_i \psi \right\rangle / \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \mathbf{F} \psi \right\rangle, \quad (17)$$

$$\Lambda_{eff} \equiv \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle / \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \mathbf{F} \psi \right\rangle, \quad (18)$$

$$C_i(t) \equiv \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, c_i \right\rangle / \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$Q(t) \equiv \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, Q \right\rangle / \left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle. \quad (20)$$

Note that the $\Delta\alpha(t)$ expression of Eq. (15) can be obtained by applying the perturbation theory for α -eigenvalue. [2]

2.2 Calculation of the α -adjoint weighted kinetics parameters

In the α iteration method [6] for a subcritical system, the time source distribution S_t is updated iteration-by-iteration as

$$S_t^{(i)} = -\alpha^{(i)} \mathbf{R} S_t^{(i-1)}; \quad (21)$$

$$\alpha^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\int d\mathbf{r} \int dE \int d\Omega \mathbf{R} S_t^{(i-1)}}, \quad (22)$$

$$\mathbf{R} S_t = \frac{1}{v(E)} \mathbf{L}^{-1} (1 - \mathbf{F} \mathbf{L}^{-1}) S_t. \quad (23)$$

Then ϕ_0^\dagger implies the number of time sources produced in the n -th iteration due to a unit time source neutron located at (\mathbf{r}, E, Ω) it as n approaches infinity. The time source probability after n iterations from a source of energy group g at iteration $i-n$, denoted by $\phi_{g,i}^{\dagger,n}$, can be estimated as

$$\phi_{g,i}^{\dagger,n} = \frac{1}{M_g^{i-n}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} \sum_{k=1}^{K^j} w^{ijk} \frac{1}{v^{ijk} \Sigma_t^{ijk}}, \quad (24)$$

where j and k are history and collision indices, respectively.

Then $\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \beta_i \mathbf{F}_i \psi \right\rangle$, $\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle$, and $\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \mathbf{F} \psi \right\rangle$ in Eqs. (17) and (18) can be calculated at iteration i by

$$\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \beta_i \mathbf{F}_i \psi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{M_i} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} \sum_{k=1}^{K^j} w^{ijk} \frac{v_d \Sigma_f^{ijk}}{\Sigma_t^{ijk}} \phi_{g,i-1}^{\dagger,n}, \quad (25)$$

$$\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \frac{\psi}{v} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{M_i} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} \sum_{k=1}^{K^j} \frac{w^{ijk}}{v^{ijk} \Sigma_t^{ijk}} \phi_{g'',i-1}^{\dagger,n}, \quad (26)$$

$$\left\langle \phi_0^\dagger, \mathbf{F} \psi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{M_i} \sum_{j=1}^{M_i} \sum_{k=1}^{K^j} w^{ijk} \frac{v \Sigma_f^{ijk}}{\Sigma_t^{ijk}} \phi_{g',i-1}^{\dagger,n}. \quad (27)$$

The index g in Eq.(25) and the index g' in Eq.(27) are energy groups of delayed fission neutron and fission neutron, which is generated from k -collision, respectively. The index g'' in Eq.(26) indicates the energy group which the neutron has before the k -th collision.

3. Numerical Results

The proposed method to calculate the α -adjoint weighted kinetics parameters is verified for an infinite homogeneous problem characterized by two-group cross sections given in Table 1.

The MC a iteration calculation is conducted with 1000 active iterations on 100,000 sources per iteration. Table 2 shows the comparison of MC estimates of the α -adjoint weighted kinetic parameters and α -eigenvalue with their analytic solutions. From the table, one can see that the MC estimates agree well with the references within their 95% confidence intervals.

Table 1. 2-group cross-section for the infinite homogeneous problem

Cross section	First group (g=1)	Second group (g=2)
Σ_{tg}	0.500	0.500
Σ_{fg}	0.025	0.175
ν_{pg}	2.000	2.000
Σ_{sgg}	0.100	0.200
$\Sigma_{sg'g'}$ (g≠g')	0.024372	0.000
χ_1	0.800	0.500
χ_2	0.200	0.500
$\chi_{d,1}$	0.800	0.800
$\chi_{d,2}$	0.200	0.200
β_0	0.006	0.006
$1/\nu_g$ [sec/cm]	2.28626×10^{-10}	1.29329×10^{-6}

Table 2. α adjoint -weighted kinetics parameters for the infinite homogeneous problem

Parameter	Ref	mean	RSD [%]	Error [%]
α	83448.3	83438.7	0.008	-0.011
β_{eff}	3.16578E-03	3.17000E-03	0.079	0.133
Λ	6.52997E-06	6.52687E-06	0.038	-0.048

4. Conclusions

A new point kinetic equation using the α -adjoint weighted kinetics parameters is derived by making the best of a fact that the subcritical system can be accurately represented by the α -mode eigenvalue equation, rather than the k -mode eigenvalue equation. Algorithms to calculate the required kinetics parameters are suggested for the MC α iteration calculations. Application results of the proposed PKE for a subcritical system will be presented.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Salvatores, Transmutation: a Decade of Revival. Issues, Relevant Experiments and Perspectives, 6th OECD-NEA Information Exchange Meeting on Partitioning and Transmutation, 2000.
- [2] G. Bell, S. Glasstone, Nuclear Reactor Theory, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1970.
- [3] K. Nishihara, T. Iwasaki, Y. Udagawa, A New Static and Dynamic One-Point Equation and Analytic and Numerical

Calculations for a Subcritical System, *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, Vol. 40, No. 7, pp. 481–492, 2003.

[4] A. Gandini, M. Salvatores, The Physics of Subcritical Multiplying Systems, *J. Nucl. Sci. Technol.*, Vol. 39, No. 6, pp. 673-686, 2002

[5] K. Kobayashi, K. Nishihara, Definition of Subcriticality Using the Importance Function for the Production of Fission Neutrons, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, Vol. 136, pp. 272-281, 2000

[6] H. J. Shim, S. H. Jang, S. M. Kang, Monte Carlo Alpha Iteration Algorithm for a Subcritical System Analysis, *Science and Technology of Nuclear Installations*, vol. 2015, Article ID 859242, 7 pages, 2015.