Integrity Assessment of Fusion Reactor Structures Using Arc-dpa Cross Section

Myeong Hyeon WOO^a, Jae Hyun KIM^a, Cheol Woo LEE^b, Chang Ho SHIN^a, Jong Kyung KIM^{a*} ^aDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, Hanyang University, 222, Wangsimni-ro, Seongdong-gu, Seoul, Korea ^bKoreae Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI), 111, Daedeok-daero 989, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Korea ^{*}Corresponding author: jkkim1@hanyang.ac.kr

1. Introduction

There are still many obstacles to realizing the nuclear fusion reactor. For a material perspective, radiation damage is an important issue, which determines the lifetime of the structure, and it should be considered from the design stage. In particular, it is known that the radiation damage is more severe in the fusion reactor than in the fission reactor due to the high-energy neutrons generated by D-T reaction [1].

Displacement per atom (dpa) has been used as a representative index of radiation damage due to the difficulty in evaluating changes of material properties. A simple mathematical model was adopted as a standard by the IAEA and it was used for a long time to evaluate the dpa. This standard model has been widely used but has some fundamental limitations. It is not applicable to alloy and compound materials, and does not consider the recombination of atoms in the cascade process, so it differs from the experimental results. To overcome this problem, athermal recombinationcorrected dpa (arc-dpa) based on molecular dynamic (MD) and binary collision approximation (BCA) has recently been proposed. In this study, the integrity of the fusion reactor blanket using arc-dpa cross section was evaluated as a part of the structure evaluation study.

2. Methods and Results

2.1 Radiation Damage

When an atom collides with an incident particle and receives more than displacement threshold energy, the atom escapes from the lattice and generates vacancies and interstitial atoms. These defects deteriorate the physical properties of the material, and the change in material properties is called radiation damage. The process by which an incident particle damages the material is shown in Figure 1. In this figure, primary knock-on atom (PKA), an atom that escapes by interacting with an incident particle, collides with other lattice atoms and creates a collision cascade.

2.2 Displacement per Atom

The displacement per atom (dpa) is defined as the frequency of escape from the lattice per atom and is calculated as Eq. (1).



Fig. 1. Schematic Diagram of Radiation Damage [2]

$$dpa = \frac{N_d}{N}$$

$$= \int_0^t \int_0^\infty \sum_i \int_{E_d}^{T_{max}} \phi(E, t) \frac{d\sigma_i(E, T)}{dT} \nu(T) dT \, dE \, dt$$
(1)

where N is atomic density, N_d is number of escaped atoms per unit volume, $\phi(E, t)$ is flux of incident particle, T_{max} is the maximum kinetic energy of PKA, E_d is the effective displacement threshold energy of material, $d\sigma_i(E,T)/dT$ is the recoil atom energy distribution for *i*th reaction, and v(T) is the number of Frenkel pairs produced by a single PKA. Frenkel pair means a pair of stable atomic vacancy and interstitial atom.

The Kinchin-Pease model [3] is the simplest model for estimating the displacement damage function v(T) based on the following assumptions; Cascade results from a chain collision between two atoms; if the target atom receives more than threshold energy, the atom escape from the lattice; the effect of the crystal structure is ignored; immediate recombination of atomic vacancy and interstitial atoms is ignored.

Norgett, Torren, and Robinson proposed a model called the NRT model which is similar to the Kinchin-Pease damage function by introducing the average energy delivered to the lattice atoms [4]. The damage function of the NRT model is described by Eq. (2).

$$\nu_{NRT}(T) = \frac{0.8T}{2E_d} \tag{2}$$

Because the NRT model has fundamental limitations in the assumptions described above, it is difficult to apply to compound materials and there is a difference between the experiments and the predicted values. To overcome this problem, athermal recombinationcorrected dpa (arc-dpa) was developed that takes into account the recombination results of Frenkel pairs calculated from Molecular Dynamic (MD) and Binary Collision Approximation (BCA) simulations. Since arcdpa simulates the atomic structure information, it has an advantage of better prediction than NRT model when simulating compound material and alloy [5].

When the displacement damage function and the PKA energy distribution are combined and stored as data, dpa can be easily evaluated as shown in Eq. (3). where σ_d is the dpa cross section and $\Psi(E)$ is particle fluence. Figure 2 shows the dpa cross section of EUROFER alloy in ENDF-6 format. As shown in the Figure, the MT number of the dpa cross section derived by using the BCA-MD method is assigned to 900.

 $dpa = \int_0^\infty \sigma_d(E)\Psi(E)dE \tag{3}$

where

$$\sigma_{\rm d}(E) = \sum_{i} \int_{E_d}^{T_{max}} \frac{d\sigma_i(E,T)}{dT} \nu(T) dT$$



Fig. 2. EUROFER dpa Cross Section in ENDF-6 Format

2.3 Integrity Assessment of Fusion Reactor Blanket

The blanket covering the vacuum vessel protects structures and superconducting toroidal field magnets from heat and high energy neutrons generated by the fusion reaction. The blanket converts the kinetic energy of neutrons into thermal energy and produces tritium, which is used as fuel for nuclear fusion. Each country participating in ITER is studying the blanket of several concepts. Korea proposed Helium Cooled Ceramic Reflector (HCCR) blanket concept to use neutrons efficiently by using graphite reflector [6]. The blanket is a layered structure of neutron multiplier and tritium breeder, which are made of pebbles, and helium is used as the coolant.

Figure 3 shows the dpa evaluation model developed from the HCCR concept. The thickness of each layer is shown in parentheses in centimeters. The materials and densities of the blanket components are listed in Table 1. The neutron energy was set at 14.1 MeV assuming that all of the neutrons were emitted by the D-T reaction. The neutron intensity was perpendicularly injected into the blanket with neutron beam loading of 1.0 MW m⁻² (= 4.427×10^{13} cm⁻²sec⁻¹).



Fig. 3. Layout of the Blanket Model

| Region | Material | Thickness (cm) | Density (g/cm ³) |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| Breeder | Li ₂ TiO ₃ | 0.8 | 1.94 |
| Multiplier | Be | 0.2 | 1.16 |
| First Wall | SS316 | 1.0 | 5.92 |
| BZ plate | SS316 | 1.0 | 5.10 |
| Graphite Reflector | Graphite | 5.0 | 1.78 |
| Tungsten layer | Tungsten Metal | 5.0 | 19.2 |
| Vanadium layer | Vanadium Metal | 5.0 | 6.00 |

Table I: Materials and Densities Used in the Blanket

Neutron transport calculations were performed using MCNP6.1 [7] and the cross section data of the FENDL 3.1 library was used [8]. In the calculation of displacement damage, arc-dpa cross section provided by the IAEA data center was used. The MCNP F4 tally was used to calculate the neutron flux, and the following FM card was applied to the tally to multiply the dpa cross section with the neutron spectrum.

FM4 1 m 900

Figure 4 shows the neutron flux distribution according to the blanket depth. The neutron flux was tallied as a boundary of 1.8 MeV, which is the threshold energy of ${}^{9}Be(n, 2n)$ reaction. Neutron fluxes above 1.8 MeV decrease as the depth increases, confirming that the multiplier has no significant effect on the reproduction of the high energy neutrons. The dpa rate of the blanket structure and the dpa cross section used are listed in Table 2.



Fig. 4. Neutron Flux Distribution inside the Blanket; The boundary of the tungsten layer was taken as the origin.

dpa/y (y⁻¹) dpa XS [9-10] Region Tungsten 0.208 Tungsten Metal layer Vanadium 1.839 Vanadium Metal layer 1.205 First Wall BZ plate 1.040 (1st layer) BZ plate 0.881 (2nd layer) EUROFAR alloy BZ plate 0.655 (3rd layer) BZ plate 0.477 (4th layer) Graphite 0.267 Carbon Ceramic Reflector

Table II: The dpa Rate Evaluated Using arc-dpa Cross Section

3. Conclusions

In this study, the integrity assessment of the fusion blanket structure was analyzed using the arc-dpa cross section. This result is expected to be useful for research on structural integrity of nuclear fusion reactor, which is difficult to experiment with research reactor.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by National Fusion Research Institute (NFRI) and Innovative Technology Center for Radiation Safety (iTRS).

REFERENCES

[1] Richard F. Mattas, Lifetime Analysis of Fusion Reactor Components, Nuclear Technology-Fusion, Vol.4, p.1257-1262, 1983.

[2] J. Knaster, A. Moeslang, and T. Muroga, Materials Research for Fusion, Nature Physics Vol.12, p. 424–434, 2016.
[3] G. H. Kinchin, and R. S. Pease, The Displacement of Atoms in Solids by Radiation, Reports on Progress in Physics, Vol.18, p.1-55, 1955.

[4] I. M. Torrens, and M. T. Robinson, Computer Simulation of Atomic-displacement Cascades in Solids in the Binary-Collision Approximation, Phys. Rev. B, Vol.9, p.5008-5024, 1974.

[5] A. Konobeev, and U. Fischer, DPA and Gas Production in Intermediate and High Energy Particle Interactions with Accelerator Components, Proceedings of HB2014, Nov.10-14, 2014, East Lansing, MI, USA

[6] Cho, Seungyon, et al, Overview of Helium Cooled Ceramic Reflector Test Blanket Module Development in Korea, Fusion Engineering and Design, Vol.88, p.621-625, 2013.

[7] Denise B. Pelowitz, MCNP6TM User's Manual Version 1.0, LA-CP-13-00634, Los Alamos National Laboratory, 2013.

[8] FORREST, R. A., et al. FENDL-3 Library–summary Documentation. INDC (NDS)-628, IAEA Nuclear Data Section, 2012.

[9] A.Yu. Konobeyev, U. Fischer, Yu.A. Korovin, and S.P. Simakov, Evaluation of Effective Threshold Displacement Energies and Other Data Required for the Calculation of Advanced Atomic Displacement Cross-sections, Nuclear Energy and Technology, Vol.3, p.169-175, 2017.

[10] Konobeyev, A. Yu, U. Fischer, and P. E. Pereslavtsev, Evaluation of Advanced Displacement Cross-sections for the Major EUROFER Constituents based on an Atomistic Modelling Approach, Kerntechnik vol.80, p.7-12, 2015.