# Epigenetic changes of Arabidopsis genome associated with altered DNA methyltransferase and demethylase expressions after gamma irradiation

Ji Eun Kim<sup>a,†</sup>, Eun Ju Cho<sup>a,†</sup>, Ji Hong Kim<sup>a</sup>, Byung Yeoup Chung<sup>a</sup>, Jin-Hong Kim<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Advanced Radiation Technology Institute, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 29 Geumgu-gil, Jeongeup 580-

185, Republic of Korea

\*Corresponding author: jhongkim@kaeri.re.kr

†These authors contributed equally.

#### 1. Introduction

DNA methylation at carbon 5 of cytosines is a hall mark of epigenetic inactivation and heterochromatin in both plants and mammals [1]. In Arabidopsis, DNA methylation has two roles that protect the genome from selfish DNA elements and regulate gene expression [2]. Plant genome has three types of DNA methyltransferase, METHYLTRANSFERASE 1 (MET1), DOMAIN-REARRANGED METHYLASE (DRM) and CHROMOMETHYLASE 3 (CMT3) that are capable of methylating CG, CHG (where H is A, T, or C) and CHH sites, respectively [2,3]. MET1 is a maintenance DNA methyltransferase that controls CG methylation [4]. Two members of the DRM family, DRM1 and DRM2, are responsible for *de novo* methylation of CG, CHG, and CHH sites but show a preference for CHH sites. Finally, CMT3 principally carries out CHG methylation and is involved in both de novo methylation and maintenance [3,4]. Alternatively, active DNA demethylation may occur through the glycosylase activity by removing the methylcytosines from DNA [5]. It may have essential roles in preventing transcriptional silencing of transgenes and endogenous genes and in activating the expression of imprinted genes. DNA demetylation in Arabidopsis is mediated by the DEMETER (DME) family of bifunctional DNA glycosylase. Three targets of DME are MEA (MEDEA), FWA (FLOWERING WAGENINGEN), and FIS2 (FERTILIZATION INDEPENDENT SEED 2) [5]. The DME family contains DEMETER-LIKE 2 (DML2), DML3, and REPRESSOR OF SILENING 1 (ROS1). DNA demetylation by ROS1, DML2, and DML3 protect the hypermethylation of specific genome loci. ROS1 is necessary to suppress the promoter methylation and the silencing of endogenous genes. In contrast, the function of DML2 and DML3 has not been reported.

 Several recent studies have suggested that epigenetic alterations such as change in DNA methylation and histone modification should be caused in plant genomes upon exposure to ionizing radiation. However, there is a lack of data exploring the underlying mechanisms. Therefore, the present study aims to characterize and explain the epigenetic modification of Arabidopsis genome after gamma irradiation.

#### 2. Methods and Results

2.1 Plant materials and gamma-ray treatment

The wild type (WT) and *cmt3-11* mutant (SALK 148381) of *Arabidopsis thaliana* (ecotype Columbia) were grown in a growth chamber with a 16 h photoperiod at  $22/18^{\circ}$ C (day/night) for 4 weeks. Plants were irradiated with gamma rays at a dose rate of 1.25, 12.5, or 50 Gy  $h^{-1}$  for 4 h using a  $^{60}$ Co gamma irradiator (IR-222, MDS Nordion Inc., Kanata, Canada) at the Advanced Radiation Technology Institute (ARTI). Then, they were placed under the growth condition and their rosette leaves were harvested for analysis at 1 and 5 d after the irradiation.



Fig. 1. Comparison of DNA methylation and gene expression depending on dose rates of gamma radiation. (A) The amount of methylated DNA is proportional to the fluorescence intensity measured in the WT after gamma irradiation. (B) Expression analysis using transcriptional gene silencing markers 180-bp centromeric repeats and TSI of the WT after gamma irradiation. Relative RNA levels were measured by quantitative real-time PCR and the values are normalized to ACTIN2 expression. (C) The transcript level of DNA methyltransferase genes and demethyltransferase genes after treatment gamma-ray in the WT Arabidopsis. RAD51, RAS ASSOCIATED WITH DIABETES PROTEIN 51. ACTIN2 was used as control.

2.2 Comparison of DNA methylation and gene expression depending on dose rates of gamma radiation

For quantification of DNA methylation, genomic DNA was digested into fragments of 100-600 bp using the dsDNA shearase<sup>TM</sup> and then purified. Global DNA methylation was estimated using the MethylFlash<sup>TM</sup> Methylated DNA Quantification Kit (Epigentek, Brooklyn, NY). For RT-PCR, the first strand cDNA was produced by Maxime RT PreMix Kit (iNtRON Biotechnology, Seongnam, Korea), and then used in PCR with Maxime PCR PreMix Kit (iNtRON). Alternatively, real-time quantitative PCR analysis of the same RT products was performed using the  $SYBR^{\otimes}$ Premix Ex Taq<sup>TM</sup> (Takara Bio Inc, Otsu, Japan) in a quantitative thermal cycler, ABI7300 (Applied Biosystems Inc., Foster City, CA). The relative transcript level was expressed as an expression ratio using the comparative  $(2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$  method [6].

A quantification assay of methylated DNA revealed that genome-wide DNA methylation had substantially decreased after the irradiation of 200 Gy (Fig. 1A). In contrast, the expression levels of 180-bp centromeric repeats and transcriptionally silent information (TSI) were significantly increased after the irradiation (Fig. 1B). Among DNA methyltransferase genes, the transcript level of CMT3 largely decreased after the 200-Gy gamma-irradiation, while that of MET1 increased lightly. The transcript level of DRM2 was not affected. In contrast, the transcript levels of DNA demethylase genes generally decreased after the irradiation, except for that of ROS1 at 200 Gy (Fig. 1C).

## 2.3 Comparison of DNA methylation and gene expression between WT and cmt3 after gamma irradiation

As shown in Fig. 1, the decrease in the DNA methylation of the Arabidopsis genome after gammairradiation of 200 Gy can be associated with the decreased expression of CMT3, or the increased expression of ROS1. Therefore, when WT Arabidopsis and a cmt3 mutant were irradiated with 200-Gy gamma rays, they showed significant differences in the DNA methylation status, the expression level of 180-bp centromeric repeats, and the transcript levels of DNA methylase and demethylase genes (Fig. 2). Interestingly, deficiency of CMT3 in the cmt3 mutant rather increased the DNA methylation of the genome and the expression level of 180-bp centromeric repeats after gammairradiation (Fig. 2A and B).

## 3. Conclusions

In this study, the data revealed that the DNA methylation of Arabidopsis genome was decreased by gamma irradiation and that DNA methylation-mediated transcriptional gene silencing of pericentromeric repeats 180-bp and TSI was substantially released by the 200- Gy gamma rays. Moreover, meaningful changes in the transcript levels of DNA methyltransferase and demethyltransferase genes were compared between the WT and the *cmt3* after gamma irradiation. Accordingly, we suggest that CMT3, ROS1 and MET1 should be associated with the change of the genome-wide DNA methylation in Arabidopsis after gamma-irradiation.



Fig. 2. Comparison of DNA methylation and gene expression between WT and *cmt3* after gamma irradiation. (A) Quantification of methylated genomic DNA. (B) Relative expression level of 180-bp repeats. (C) The transcript level of DNA methyltransferase genes and dimethyltransferase genes after treatment gamma-ray.

### **REFERENCES**

[1] S.W.-L. Chan, I. R. Henderson, S. E. Jacobsen, Gardening the genome: DNA methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana, Nature Review Genetics, Vol. 6, p. 351-360.

[2] A. Bird, DNA methylation patterns and epigenetic memory, Genes Dev. Vol. 16, p. 6-21, 2002.

[3] N. Widman, S. E. Jacobsen, M. Pellegrini, Determining the conservation of DNA methylation in Arabidopsis, Epigenetics, Vol. 4, p.119-124, 2009.

[4] A. Singh, E. Zubko, P. Meyer, Cooperative activity of DNA methyltransferase for maintenance of symmetrical and non-symmetrical cytosine methylation in Arabidopsis thaliana, The Plant Journal, Vol. 56, p. 814-823, 2008.

[5] J.-K. Zhu, Active DNA demethylation mediated by DNA Glycosylases, Annu. Rev. Genet., Vol. 43, p. 143-166, 2009.

[6] K. J. Livak, T. D. Schmittgen, Analysis of gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-∆∆Ct) method, Methods, Vol. 25, p. 402-408. 2001.