Improving the Thermal Conductivity of UO² Fuel with the Addition of Graphene

Byoung-Jin Cho, Young-Jin Kim, Dong-Seong Sohn

Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (UNIST) 100 Banyeon-ri, Eonyang-eup, Ulju-gun, Ulsan, Republic of Korea 689-798 **Corresponding author: dssohn@unist.ac.kr*

1. Introduction

Improvement of fuel performances by increasing the fuel thermal conductivity using the BeO [1, 2] or W [3] were reported elsewhere. In this paper, some major fuel performances of improved thermal conductivity oxide (ICO) nuclear fuel with the addition of 10 v/o graphene have been compared to those of standard $UO₂$ fuel. The fuel thermal conductivity affects many performance parameters and thus is an important parameter to determine the fuel performance. Furthermore, it also affects the performance of the fuel during reactor accidents. The improved thermal conductivity of the fuel would reduce the fuel temperature at the same power condition and would improve the fission gas release, rod internal pressure and fuel stored energy.

Graphene is well known for its excellent electrical conductivity, strength and thermal conductivity. The addition of graphene to the $UO₂$ fuel could increase the thermal conductivity of the ICO fuel. Although the graphene material is extensively studied recently, the characteristics of the graphene material, especially the thermal properties, are not well-known yet.

In this study, we used the Light Water Reactor fuel performance analysis code FRAPCON-3.2 [1] to analyze the performance of standard $UO₂$ and ICO fuel.

2. Thermal conductivity modeling of the ICO fuel.

Several theoretical models are available to calculate the expected thermal conductivity of ICO fuel. Classical and widely used model is the Maxwell [2] model which deals with the random mixture of spherical particles in the matrix.

$$
k_{nf} = k_f \left[1 + \frac{3(\alpha - 1)\varphi}{(\alpha + 2) - (\alpha - 1)\varphi} \right]
$$
 (1)

Where k_{nf} is the effective thermal conductivity of the mixture, k_f is the thermal conductivity of the matrix, α is k_p/k_f (k_p is the thermal conductivity of solid particles), and φ is the particle volume fraction.

The Hamilton-Crosser model [3] could be applied to the mixture of different shape particles by introducing the empirical shape factor of the dispersed particles:

$$
k_{nf} = k_f \left[\frac{\alpha + (n-1) - (n-1)(1-\alpha)\varphi}{\alpha + (n-1) + (1-\alpha)\varphi} \right]
$$
 (2)

Where n is an empirical constant which reflects shape effects of the particle and must be determined experimentally for mixtures containing particles of arbitrary shapes. The equation (2) is reduced to the Maxwell equation (1) when the dispersed particle shape is spherical.

Hamilton-Crosser described in their paper [3] that the data on the mixtures of graphite flakes are correctly predicted by equation 2 with n value to be 6. With no other data on graphene geometry effect are available and considering the shape of the graphene to be thin plate, we took the value of n to be 6 for conservative estimate of the effect of graphene addition. The thermal conductivity of ICO fuel was calculated using the Hamilton-Crosser model with the thermal conductivities of the graphene and of the standard $UO₂$ fuel.

3. FRAPCON Thermal Conductivity Function.

The subroutine FTHCON in FRAPCON code [1] is the part where the thermal conductivity of the fuel is calculated. Lucuta model [4] is used as thermal conductivity model for $UO₂$ fuel and as the basic model to derive the thermal conductivity of the ICO fuel with the effect of graphene addition as described in above.

The Lucuta model is as follows:

$$
K = K_o \bullet FD \bullet FP \bullet FM \bullet FR \qquad (3-1)
$$

Where K_0 is thermal conductivity of unirradiated, FD is a factor for dissolved fission products, FP is a factor for precipitated fission products, FM is a factor to correct for the Maxwell porosity effect and FR is factor for the radiation effect.

Thermal conductivity of un-irradiated, fully dense urania and factors included in the Lucuta model are described by the Equations (3-2) through (3-6).

$$
K_o = \frac{1}{0.0375 + 2.165 \times 10^{-4} T} + \left[\frac{4.715 \times 10^9}{T^2} \right] \exp\left[-\frac{16361}{T} \right] \tag{3-2}
$$

$$
FD = \left[\frac{1.09}{B^{3.265}} + \frac{0.0643}{\sqrt{B}}\sqrt{T}\right] \arctan\left[\frac{1}{\frac{1.09}{B^{3.265}} + \frac{0.0643}{\sqrt{B}}\sqrt{T}\right]
$$
(3-3)

$$
FP = 1 + \left[\frac{0.019B}{3 - 0.019B}\right] \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{T - 1200}{100}\right)}\right]
$$
(3-4)

$$
FM = \frac{1 - p}{1 + (s - 1)p}
$$
 (3-5)

$$
FR = 1 - \frac{0.2}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{T - 900}{80}\right)}
$$
(3-6)

Where K_0 is conductivity of un-irradiated (W/m-K), T is temperature (K), B is burnup in atom% (1 atom% $=$ 9.383 GWD/MTU at 200 MeV/fission), p is porosity fraction (as-fabricated plus swelling) and s is shape factor $(= 1.5$ for spherical pores).

4. Simulation results

Performances of the $UO₂$ and the ICO fuel were evaluated by FRAPCON code. The effect of the graphene addition into the ICO fuel was incorporated into the K_0 of the Lucuta model using the model derived in Section 2. The ANO 2 power history which is included in FRAPCON manual was used in this calculation as a reference power history. Comparisons of some of the important fuel performances are shown in graphical form.

Fig. 1. The reference power history used in this calculation.

Fig. 2. Fuel average temperature as a function of fuel rod average burnup for $UO₂$ and ICO fuels.

Fig. 3. Fuel rod internal pressure as a function of fuel rod average burnup for $UO₂$ and ICO fuels.

Fig. 4. Fission gas release as a function of fuel rod average burnup for $UO₂$ and ICO fuels.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the effect of 10 v/o graphene addition to $UO₂$ fuel was evaluated by comparing the performances of the $UO₂$ fuel and ICO fuel using FRAPCON code. The thermal conductivity of the ICO fuel was modeled by the Hamilton-Crosser model to incorporate the shape effect of the graphene. As was expected, the ICO fuel showed marked improvements in major performance parameters such as fuel average temperature, rod internal pressure and fission gas release.

REFERENCES

[1] Kevin McCoy and Claude Mays, Enhanced thermal conductivity oxide nuclear fuels by co-sintering with BeO: II. Fuel performance and neutronics, Journal of Nuclear Materials, 375, 157-167, 2008

[2] S.K. Kim, W.I Ko, H.D. Kim, Shripad T. Revankar, W. Zhou and Daeseong Jo, Cost-benefit of BeO-UO₂ nuclear fuel, Progress in Nuclear Energy, 52, 813-821, 2010.

[3] J-H Yang, K-W Song, K-S Kim, Y-H Jung, A fabrication technique for a $UO₂$ pellet consisting of $UO₂$ grains and a continuous W channel on the grain boundary, Journal of Nuclear Materials, 353, 202-208, 2006

[4] FRAPCON-3 Updates, Including Mixed-Oxide Fuel Properties, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, NUREG/CR-6534, Vol. 4.

[5] J.C. Maxwell, A Treatise on Electricity and Magnetism, Oxford University Press, Cambridge, 1904.

[6] R.L. Hamilton, O.K. Crosser, I&EC Fund, vol. 1, 1962, p. 187.

[7] P.G. Lucuta, Hj Matzke, I.J. Hastings, A pragmatic approach to modeling thermal conductivity of irradiated $UO₂$ fuel: review and recommendations Journal of Nuclear Materials, 232, 166-180, 1996