Comparative Study of ⁸²Sr Purification Procedures Used at Brookhaven National Laboratory and ARRONAX

Yeong Su Ha*, Sang-Pil Yoon, Han-Sung Kim and Kye-Ryung Kim

Korea Multi-purpose Accelerator Complex (KOMAC), Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI), 181 Mirae-ro, Geonchon-eup, Gyeongju, Gyeongbuk, 38180, Korea *Corresponding author: ysha19840704@kaeri.re.kr

ł

1. Introduction

Nuclear imaging is one of the most powerful means available for non-invasive diagnosis and management of poorly perfused myocardial region resulting from coronary epicardial vascular disease [1]. Several radionuclides are available for myocardial perfusion imaging. The most validated radionuclides for the measurement of cardiac blood flow are: ¹³N, ¹⁵O, ²⁰¹Tl and ⁸²Rb. ¹³N, ¹⁵O and ²⁰¹Tl require the presence of an on-site cyclotron, whereas, ⁸²Rb requires only a generator [2].

Rubidium (Rb), an alkali metal ion, acts biologically like potassium, and accumulates in myocardial tissue. Rb has rapid blood clearance profile which allows the use of ⁸²Rb with an ultra-short physical half-life of 75 s for non-invasive evaluation of regional myocardial blood flow [3]. There are several advantages of ⁸²Rb over other radionuclides. An ultra-short half-life significantly reduces the radiation dose to the patient and offers the possibility of repeatable injections to investigate the effects of medical intervention. As a positron emitter, use of ⁸²Rb allows the full advantages of positron emission tomography (PET) such as image quantification with superior sensitivity [4].

⁸²Rb can be produced from a generator system by decay of its 25-day half-life parent ⁸²Sr. However, the ⁸²Sr parent is difficult to prepare, because in routine generator production, certain purity is required to meet the specification of the product. Recently reported result from ARRONAX research group showed that a Chelex-100 column is sufficient for this purpose and no additional column is necessary [5]. While Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL) method includes three ion exchange column separation, including Chelex-100 column [6]. Currently, since no ⁸²Sr production site is available in Korea, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI) has plan to produce ⁸²Sr with certain purity. We compared ⁸²Sr purification methods reported from ARRONAX and BNL to investigate the most suitable method for future reference.

2. Methods and Results

2.1 Preparation of a cold stock solution for comparison of purification procedures

Mass of cold Sr for test of purification procedure can be calculated using the following equation: Calculation of the total number of atoms and total mass of ⁸²Sr present in 24.2 GBq (654 mCi) ⁸²Sr ($t_{1/2}$ = 25.6 days)

$$h \text{ for } {}^{82}\text{Sr} = \frac{0.693}{25.6 \times 24 \times 60 \times 60} = 3.1 \times 10^{-7} \text{ sec}^{-1} (1)$$

$$A = 654 \times 3.7 \times 10^7 = 2.4 \times 10^{10} \, \text{dps} \tag{2}$$

$$N = \frac{A}{\lambda} = \frac{2.4 \times 10^{10}}{3.1 \times 10^{-7}} = 0.77 \times 10^{14} atoms$$
(3)

Since 1 g·atom 82 Sr = 82 g 82 Sr = 6.02 x 10 23 atoms of 82 Sr (Avogadro's number)

Mass of ⁸²Sr in 654mCi (24.2GBq) =
$$\frac{0.77 \times 10^{14} \times 82}{6.02 \times 10^{23}}$$

= 10.49×10⁻⁶ g
= 10.49µg (4)

Where λ is the decay constant and *N* is the number of atoms.

Because in BNL paper, up to 24.2 GBq of ⁸²Sr has been produced in a 35 g RbCl target, a cold stock solution was prepared by considering both RbCl target mass and produced ⁸²Sr activity to mass. In addition, the generated impurities during ⁸²Sr production such as Fe, Be and Se were mixed with the same amount of Sr.

2.2 *Optimization of Chelex-100 resin column chromatography procedure*

Before comparison of purification methods between ARRONAX and BNL, Chelex-100 resin column chromatography procedure was optimized. First, we tested the proper amount of Chelex-100 resin (Bio-Rad laboratories, France) to separate Sr from bulk RbCl. Second, pH of loading buffer mixed with stock solution was confirmed by using three pH buffers like 9.05, 9.50 and 9.92. Finally, we varied loading time of the sample for the reaction of the loading sample with resin. Results are shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Recovery yield of Sr and Rb in eluate measured by ICP-MS as a function of (A) the amount of resin, (B) buffer pH and (C) the sample loading time.

When large amount of resin was used, not only higher recovery yield of Sr occurs but also higher concentration of Rb impurity in the eluate was observed. On the other hand, the recovery yield resulting from use of less amount of resin and many process of column chromatography showed decreasing pattern in both Sr and Rb in the eluate (Fig. 1A). Effect of buffer pH was negligible (Fig. 1B), whereas effect of the sample loading time was found to be the most important factor for Sr separation. Sufficient time for reaction of the loading sample with resin is necessary (Fig. 1C).

2.3 Comparison on the final yield of Sr purified by BNL and ARRONAX procedures

ARRONAX reported that only Chelex-100 resin column process was enough for the final Sr solution within specifications. While BNL proceeded three ion exchange column separation such as Chelex-100, AG50W-X8 (cation exchange resin) and AG1-X8 (anion exchange resin). We performed both purification methods and compared the results to decide the suitable method of our research environment. Comparison result on Sr, Rb, Se, Be as well as Fe is presented in Table I.

		Final vield (%)
Sr	BNL	33.6
	ARRONAX	97.57
Rb	BNL	0.002
	ARRONAX	0.77
Se	BNL	4.23
	ARRONAX	32.39
Be	BNL	1.20
	ARRONAX	89.47
Fe	BNL	1.09
	ARRONAX	47.57

Table I: Comparison results on the final yield of Sr and other impurities purified by BNL and ARRONAX procedures.

To meet specification requirements for final Sr product, only Chelex-100 column was not sufficient. Superior recovery yield of Sr and the low concentration of Rb are satisfied. However, other impurities such as Se, Be and Fe were also included in the final Sr solution. While the final Sr solution separated by BNL procedure included much lower amount of impurities including Rb, Se, Be and Fe than that purified by ARRONAX procedure. Only the low recovery yield of Sr was a problem. Currently, we found the reason of the low recovery yield of Sr. So we are trying to increase the recovery yield to satisfy the specification of the final product.

3. Conclusions

In order to produce certain purity of ⁸²Sr, the most suitable procedure for our facility was investigated by comparing the well-known methods from BNL and ARRONAX. As compared to both procedures, it has been found that in our environment, a Chelex-100 column is not sufficient and additional ion exchange column is necessary to remove contaminations of stable elements like Se, Be, Fe, etc.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Dunet, R. Klein, G. Allenbach, J. Renaud, R. A. deKemp and J. O. Prior, Myocardial blood flow quantification by Rb-82 cardiac PET/CT: A detailed reproducibility study between two semi-automatic analysis programs, Journal of Nuclear Cardiology, Vol.23, p.499, 2016.

[2] C. E. Hagemann, A. A. Ghotbi, A. Kjaer and P. Hasbak, Quantitative myocardial blood flow with Rubidium-82 PET: a clinical perspective, American Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, Vol.5, p.457, 2015.

[3] L. F. Mausner, T. Prach and S. C. Srivastava, Production of ⁸²Sr by proton irradiation of RbCl, Applied Radiation and Isotopes, Vol.38, p.181, 1987.

[4] L. Pibida, R. Fitzgerald, M. Unterweger, M. M. Hammond and D. Golas, Measurements of the ⁸²Sr half-life, Applied Radiation and Isotopes, Vol.67, p.636, 2009. [5] C. Alliot, N. Audouin, A. C. Bonraisin, V. Bosse, J. Laize, C. Bourdeau, B. M. Mokili, N. Michel and F. Haddad, Applied Radiation and Isotopes, Vol.74, p.56, 2013.

[6] D. R. Phillips, E. J. Peterson, W. A. Taylor, D. J. Jamriska,

V. T. Hamilton, J. J. Kitten, F. O. Valdez, L. L. Salazar, L. R. Pitt, R. C. Heaton, K. L. Kolsky, L. F. Mausner, S. Kurczak, B. L. Zhuikov, V. M. Kokhanuk, N. A. Konyakhin, F. M. Nortier, T. N. van der Walt, J. Hanekom, K. M. Sosnowski and J. S. Carty, Production of strontium-82 for the Cardiogen PET generator: a project of the department of energy virtual isotope center, Radiochimica Acta, Vol.88, p.149, 2000.