# Quantitative Analysis of Uranium concentration in LiCl-KCl salt of Electrorefining Process using LIBS

Seul-Ki Han<sup>a</sup>, Se-Hwan Park<sup>a\*</sup>and Seong-Kyu Ahn<sup>a</sup>, <sup>a</sup> Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34057, Korea \*Corresponding author: ex-spark@kaeri.re.kr

48

49

73

### 1. Introduction

1

22

23

2 3 Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS) has 50 4 been developed for the effective and efficient 51 5 safeguards of pyroprocessing at Korea Atomic Energy 52 6 Research Institue (KAERI) [1]. LIBS is based on the 53 7 optical analysis of the plasma generated by 54 8 concentrating a strong laser beam on the surface of the 55 9 sample. LIBS has characteristic such as real-time 56 10 analysis, no sample preparation before the analysis, and 57 possibility of situ implementation.[2-5] In this study, U 58 11 12 and lanthanide element in LiCl - KCl salt are measured 59 13 as electrorefining process material of pyroprocessing via 60 LIBS. In this study, LiCl - KCl based salts LaCl<sub>3</sub>, 61 14  $CeCl_3$  ,  $BaCl_2$  ,  $PrCl_3$  ,  $NdCl_3$  ,  $YCl_3$  ,  $SmCl_3$  and 6215 16 UCl<sub>3</sub> were added and melted and then sampled the salt 17 in the solid state was measured in order to reduce the 18 measurement error. The purpose of the present study is 19 to explore the applicability of LIBS to quantitatively 20 measure the uranium in the eletrorefining salt. 21

### 2. Methods and Results

24 At first, five LiCl-KCl samples containing UCl<sub>3</sub> were fabricated to obtain the preliminary calibration curve.  $\begin{array}{c} 63\\ 64\end{array}$ 25 Sample contained from 1.5wt% to 6.8wt% UCl<sub>3</sub>. 65 26 27 Composition materials of the samples were placed in the glassy carbon crucible, and they were heated up to 66 28 29  $650\,^\circ C$  to melt. The molten salt samples were slowly 30 cooled to solid form in the furnace.

31 Experiments were conducted in a glove box with an 32 atmosphere of Ar gas with moisture and oxygen content 33 of 100 ppm or less for samples with high deliquescence. 34 The LIBS measurement setup is shown in Figure 1. A 35 laser beam was injected through the port of the glove 36 box and the optical fiber cable was inserted I received it. 37 The sample surface was somewhat irregular and the 38 distance between the sample and the focusing lens was 39 corrected each time the sample surface was changed by 40 interlocking the XYZ moving sample table with a high 41 precision distance meter to match the same focal length. A beam of Q-switched Nd: YAG pulse laser (Brilliant B,67 42 Quantel) with a wavelength of 532 nm is focused on the  $\delta 8$ 43 sample surface to generate plasma and Echelle  $\underline{69}$ 44 spectroscopy with 20,000( $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ ) resolution to detect 70 45 plasma emission line and ICCD camera was used. The  $\frac{71}{2}$ 46

emission lines of U and other emission line were  $\frac{72}{1}$ 47

selected in the wavelength region of 200 to 400 nm where spectroscopy is permitted. The number of laser pulses in the measurement was 100. After collecting of LIBS spectrum at a position, the sample was moved horizontally to obtain the scan data of the sample surface. The measured U spectrum in the wavelength 357.005nm, 294.189nm, 297.101nm, 304.403nm and 385.952nm were analyzed. The U peak intensity is normalized with peak intensity of the K 399.180 nm line. Figure 2 is the LIBS spectrum of 356.5 nm to 357.5 nm range, clearly shows the 356.654 nm peak and 357.005 nm peak are from U. Figure 2 shows a spectrum of the Comparison of U with varying concentration sample and the sample without U.



Fig. 1. LIBS system used in the present work



Fig. 2. U spectrum in a sample containing from 1.5 wt% to 6.8 wt% UCl3 in LiCl-KCl.

The U 385.952 nm line had the smallest Limits of detection (LOD,) 0.0576wt%, and the 304.413nm line had the highest LOD, 0.1820wt%. For better analysis of 74 the performances, Partial least-squares (PLS) regression 24 modeling was also conducted to obtain a multivariate 25 75

calibration curve. The root mean squares error of  $\frac{126}{27}$ 76

calibration (RMSEC) for the U model as a function of  $\frac{127}{128}$ 77

the latent variables used are shown in Figure 3a. In this 12978

case seven latent variables were used to best capture the  $\overline{30}$ 79

80 behavior of the spectral data. The PLS calibration curve 31

- 81 for U is shown in Figure 3b. The RMSEC is 0.4152wt%,
- 82 which is smaller than the univariate case.
- 83



87

88

89

90

variables used in the U PLS model, (b) The PLS calibration curve for U.

### 3. Summary

91 LIBS is a promising technology as the process 92 monitoring technology applicable to the pyroprocessing 93 safeguards. The uranium concentration in LiCl-KCl salt 94 were measured using LIBS system, and the quantitative 95 analysis performance of LIBS. U concentrations in the 96 samples ranged from 1.5 wt% to 6.8 wt%, and the 97 achieved values of accuracy and LOD are 2 ~ 8 % and 98 0.0576wt% respectively. Our result is promising for 99 application of the LIBS to the safeguards. Between the 100 univariate and the PLS analysis, the univariate approach 101 showed better results. The benefit of the PLS grows as 102 the spectrum get complex, and PLS analysis will play 103 important role in the salt analysis of the spent fuel 104 processed salt. 105

# Acknowledgement

108 This work was supported by a National Research 109 Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean 110 government's Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT) (No. 111 NRF-2017M2A8A5015084).

112

106

107

# REFERENCES

113 114

115 [1] B.Y. Han et al., Analysis of the applicability of LIBS to 116 address safeguards needs with respect to pyroprocessing,

117 KAERI/TR-6607/2016, 2016.

118 [2] Ammon N. Williams at el., Elemental Detection of Cerium 119 and Gadolinium in Aqueous Aerosol via LIBS, Appl.

120

- spectrosc, Vol 70, pp.1700-1708, 2016.
- 121 [3] Cremers. D.A at el., Remote elemental analysis by laser-
- 122 induced breakdown spectroscopy using a fiber optic cable",
- 123 Appl. Spectrosc, Vol 49, pp. 857-860, 1995.

[4] Davies. C.M at el.t, Quantitative analysis using remote laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy, Spectrochim. Acta Part B, Vol 50, pp. 1059-1075, 1995.

[5] Andrew I. Whitehouse, Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy and its application to the remote characterisation of hazardous materials, Spectroscopy Asia, Vol 2, No. 2, pp. 13-18, 2006.