



## Preliminary study on the estimation of radioactive source position using plastic scintillating fiber and machine learning

Jinhong Kim, Seung Hyun Kim, Si Won Song, Jae Hyung Park, Jin Ho Kim, Tae Seob Lim, and Bongsoo Lee

Department of Energy Systems Engineering

Chung-Ang University



## 1. Introduction

## 2. Materials and Experimental Setup

- 3. Results
- 4. Conclusions





How to improve the accuracy of position estimation?  $\rightarrow$  Machine Learning data analysis

Source of image : https://www.kuraray.com/products/psf



#### Plastic Scintillating Fiber BCF-12

Specific properties	Value
Core material / diameter (mm)	Polystyrene / 3.0
Cladding material / thickness (µm)	PMMA / 90
Refractive index of core / cladding	1.6 / 1.49
Emission peak (nm)	435
Decay time (ns)	3.2

#### Photon Counter H11890-210

Specific properties	Value
Photocathode area diameter (mm)	8
Detectable range (nm)	230 ~ 700
Detect wavelength peak (nm)	400



✤ 1-Dimensional radioactive source position estimating system



- Single strand of 1 m length BCF-12 is used.
- Two photon counters are connected at both ends of BCF-12.
- 49 µCi Co-60 source is used.
- Training data are obtained from 10 to 90 cm along the BCF-12 by 5 cm interval.
- Test data are obtained not only at the same position for training data, but at the three random positions.
  - > Random position estimation results confirm that the machine learning model is usable at any located sources.

#### CHUNG ANG University Applied Radiation Engineering Lab.



✤ 1-Dimensional radioactive source position estimating system



- Training data : 20,000 data at each measuring position
- Test data : 5,000 data at each measuring position
- Theoretical equation to estimate the position of the source

→  $x = \frac{L}{2} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \ln \frac{I_2}{I_1}$  (*x*: estimated position of source, *L*: length of fiber, *I*: counted number of photons



Machine Learning model

Specific properties	Value
Activation function	ReLU
Optimizer	Adam
Number of hidden layers	2
Number of nodes at each hidden layer	32

- If there is no reduction of validation loss while 10 times of epochs are over, modeling stopped.
- 10% of training data are separated to be used as validation dataset.

# 3. Results



◆ Comparison between the mean absolute errors of machine learning test results and theoretical estimation

Graphical comparison



MAE : Mean Absolute Error

**Overall MAE comparison** 

	Theoretical	ML
MAE (cm)	6.11	3.66





- The 1-dimensional radioactive source position estimating system is developed.
- Machine learning model is constructed to enhance the accuracy in the source position estimation.
- About 40.1% of improvement ratio is shown compared to the theoretical estimation.
- Further studies will be carried on the optimization of machine learning model.

# Thank You