

In woo Son^a, Sungwook Choi^a, Sang Ji Kim^b, Jeong Ik Lee^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Nuclear and Quantum Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 373-1 Guseong-dong Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea

^b Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 989-111 Daedeok-daero, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34057, Korea

*Corresponding author: jeongiklee@kaist.ac.kr

Introduction

The Molten Salt Reactor (MSR), one of the generation IV nuclear reactors, has recently received much attention worldwide due to its technology, safety, and economic feasibilities.

MSR have a high core power density and can operate at atmospheric pressure and maintain high safety by keeping the surplus reactivity low

During the development of MSR design concepts, determining which power conversion system is suitable for the system is an important issue.

To design the power conversion system, thermal sizing of the entire MSR is first required, which is carried out by referring to the Molten Salt Reactor Experiment (MSRE) conducted at ORNL (Oak Ridge National Laboratory)

The MSRE system does not have a temperature range suitable for the efficient power production

Primary Heat exchanger design parameter of the MSRE system

	Shell side (Fuel salt)	Tube side (Coolant salt)
Inlet / Outlet temperature [°C]	662.78 / 635	551.67 / 593.33
Inlet / Outlet Pressure [kPa]	379.2 / 241.3	530.9 / 324.0
Pressure drop [kPa]	165.47	199.95
Mass Flow Rate [kg/sec]	163.31	103.083

The objectives of this study are as follows: 1. Reconfiguration and thermal-sizing of MSRE system suitable for power production. 2: Selection of a suitable power conversion cycle for the modeled MSRE system.

Methods

In this study, the primary and intermediate loops suitable for the power conversion system are modeled as shown in right figure.

The fuel salt is assumed to be based on NaCl-MgCl₂ because chlorine salt can dissolve major radioactive substances such as Cs, Sr, and I. These chemical properties prevent the leakage of radioactive materials when fuel salt is leaked to the outside.

The design parameters of the primary side are selected as shown in below table.

Primary heat exchanger design parameter of the modeled MSRE system

Primary Heat exchanger design parameter	
Heat load	10MW _{th} [MSRE]
Hot side mass flow rate	163.31 kg/s [MSRE]
Hot side inlet temp.	650°C
Effectiveness	90%
$\Delta T_{hot\ side\ inlet-cold\ side\ outlet}$	10 K

Thermal property and heat exchanger correlation of the NaCl-MgCl₂

The PCHE type primary heat exchanger is conceptually designed using the KAIST-HXD code which is a MATLAB-based 1D-FDM in-house code.

The used thermal properties and correlation are summarized in right table

Thermal property	
$C_p = 1.08019 \times 1000 \left[\frac{J}{kg \cdot K} \right]$	
$\rho = (2.2971 - 0.000507 \times (T - 273.15)) \times 1000 \left[\frac{kg}{m^3} \right]$	
$\mu = \left(0.0286 \times \exp\left(\frac{1441}{T}\right) \right) \times 0.01 \left[\frac{kg}{m \cdot s} \right]$	
$k = 0.000267 \times (T - 273.15) + 0.3133 \left[\frac{W}{m \cdot K} \right]$	
Heat exchanger Correlation	
Nu = 4.089 (Laminar flow)	
f = 15.78/Re (Laminar flow)	

The primary heat exchanger could be designed by adjusting the relationship between the length of the heat exchanger and the pressure drop

The Primary heat exchanger design results

Design parameter	Cold side pressure drop = 30kPa	Cold side pressure drop = 50kPa	Cold side pressure drop = 100kPa
HX length (m)	2.36	3.05	4.32
Hot side outlet temp (K)	593.32	587.01	593.32
Cold side outlet temp (K)	587.01	587.01	587.01
Hot side pressure drop (kPa)	26	46	92
Hot side Average Re	648	836	1183
Cold side Average Re	683	882	1247
Cold side mass flow rate (kg/s)	174.72	174.72	174.72
HX Volume	3.27	3.27	3.27

To design an intermediate heat exchanger connecting the secondary and tertiary sides, the mass flow rate of the power conversion system should be calculated first

In the operating temperature range (550-630°C), the cycle with good efficiency while having high compactness is the gas Brayton cycle.

The air open Brayton recuperated cycle and an sCO₂ (Supercritical CO₂) closed Brayton recuperated cycle are designed and compared in this study

The design parameters of each cycle are assumed as shown in below tables

Design parameters of the sCO₂ power conversion system

Max Pressure	20 – 25 Mpa
Min Temperature	60°C
Max Temperature	630°C
Thermal heat	10 MW _{th}
Turbine efficiency	90%
Compressor efficiency	86%
Recuperator effectiveness	0.92
Component pressure drop	100-250 kPa
Heater Cold side	150 kPa
Recuperator Hot side	250 kPa
Recuperator Cold side	100 kPa
Cooler Hot side	100 kPa

Design parameters of the Air power conversion system

Min Temperature	15 / 25 / 60°C
Max Temperature	630°C
Thermal heat	10 MW _{th}
Compressor inlet Pressure	101.325 kPa
Turbine efficiency	90%
Compressor efficiency	86%
Recuperator effectiveness	0.92
Component pressure Ratio	-
Heater Cold side	0.01
Recuperator Hot side	0.01
Recuperator Cold side	0.01
Ratio of exhaust pressure to atmosphere	0.98

The cycle optimization variable is the turbine pressure ratio, and the optimization target is the maximum of cycle thermal efficiency and cycle-specific work product.

Result

The air power cycle has relatively high thermal efficiency and specific work compared to the sCO₂ closed Brayton cycle.

For the sCO₂ cycle, due to the limitation of the indirect air-cooling method, the minimum temperature was fixed at 60°C, so it did not show the best power cycle performance.

Since the air cycle is an open Brayton cycle, it was able to have a lower minimum temperature compared to the sCO₂ cycle.

Cycle optimization results of the sCO₂ cycle

Design parameter	sCO ₂	sCO ₂
	Max pres. = 20MPa	Max pres. = 25MPa
Cycle Thermal efficiency (%)	32.99	34.13
Cycle work (MW _c)	3.30	3.41
Specific Work (MW _c /kg)	0.086	0.093
Thermal heat (MW _{th})	10	10
Pressure ratio	3.59	3.54
Min. Pressure (MPa)	5.16	6.63
Mass flow rate (kg/s)	38.59	36.82

Cycle optimization results of the air cycle

Design parameter	Air	Air	Air
	Min Temp. = 60 °C	Min Temp. = 25 °C	Min Temp. = 15 °C
Cycle Thermal efficiency (%)	29.94	34.59	35.94
Cycle work (MW _c)	2.99	3.46	3.59
Specific Work (MW _c /kg)	0.072	0.092	0.099
Thermal heat (MW _{th})	10	10	10
Pressure ratio	2.95	3.45	3.63
Max. Pressure (MPa)	0.31	0.37	0.39
Mass flow rate (kg/s)	41.80	37.54	36.42

Conclusions

A thermal sizing of MSR system is conducted in this study for efficient power production

The air open Brayton cycle and the sCO₂ closed Brayton cycle suitable for the MSR are optimized and compared

The air open Brayton power cycle has comparable thermal efficiency and specific work with the sCO₂ closed Brayton power cycle