

Study on the Contamination Monitoring System of Large Groups through the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident

Young-Min Lee^{*}, Hyun-Ha Lee Korea Institute of Nuclear Safety, 62 Gwahak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Korea * Corresponding author: k722lym@kins.re.kr

Introduction

- Nuclear or radiological emergency that radioactive materials can be released into the environment can cause the radiation exposure of the public and environmental contamination. In the case of radioactive materials' release, radiological contamination monitoring is one of the critical components to identify individuals who need medical treatment, prevent cross-contamination, and deal with anxiety and concerns of evacuees.
- Affected people have to be monitored and evaluated for the needs of medical treatment, the presence of external and internal contamination, the received dose, and the health risk from exposure and long-term health effects.
- The accidents such as the Chernobyl, Goiania, and Fukushima have shown that it needs preparedness and implementation of the rapid survey and assessing internal contamination in large numbers of individuals.
- > This study reviewed the contamination monitoring experiences in the Fukushima accident and suggested need points for the effective and practical contamination

Methods & Results

Radiological screening survey in the Fukushima Accident [1]

- > The screening survey period : 2011.3.12 ~ 2012.2.10 (4 periods)
- Screening of the 1st period (3.12 ~ 3.20) : 70,000 evacuees(Restricted Area, 20 km from the NPP), shelters
- Screening of the 2nd period (3.21 ~ 4.9) : evacuees and the general public
 During the 1st and 2nd period : screening at 200 sites (188 shelters)
- 3rd period (4.10 ~ 4.24) : the individuals who had entered the Restricted Area
 Detector : Geiger-Müller (GM) survey meter (1 cm distance from the body)
- > Decontamination level : 100,000 cpm
- Survey result (1st period)

3.12 ~ 3.20	Number of cases	
13,000 ~ 100,000 cpm	645	0.14 %
Over 100,000 cpm	104	0.88 %
total	72660	

★ 13,000 ~ 100,000 cpm (partial decontamination (wiping, dry decontamination))

Preparedness for contamination monitoring in Korea

> Focus on the injured patients

- No detailed contamination monitoring procedure for the evacuees and the public
- ➢ If the General Emergency is declared, the residents of Precautionary Action Zone (PAZ) should be evacuated into designated shelters.
- The residents of PAZ in the Gori site are approximately 10,000. If this number of residents is considered, the amount of resources required is more than the Fukushima accident case. Fukushima prefecture dispatched more than 100 monitoring staff per day at 200 sites (2011.3.12 ~ 20).
- Local governments have some portal monitors and hand-held devices for contamination monitoring but the instruments and staff are insufficient compared to the number of residents.

Suggestion

This study suggests designating the community reception center (CRC) and arranging the detailed contamination monitoring procedure. Most local governments already have the evacuation plan to shelter. This plan may not be adequate for caring for people in a nuclear or radiological emergency due to potential contamination with the radioactive material.

The monitoring for large groups needs lots of resources such as monitoring staff, measuring devices, decontamination tools, supporting resources, etc. So CRC has to be a checkpoint for screening, decontamination, medical triage, registry, etc. Because it is hard to conduct these response actions at each shelter. According to the time, the target of contamination monitoring changes and the response performed after the contamination monitoring is as shown in fig. 2. In each response step, appropriate detectors, proper procedure, screening criteria, and trained staff are needed.



Early response	Intermediate response	
Contamination screening for evacuee	Contamination screening for visitor from contaminated area	
Monitoring post at the reception center or shelter	Monitoring post at the entrance control place	
Portal monitor, hand-held device	Portal monitor, hand-held device	
Thyroid monitoring Nal(Tl), direct measurement	Detailed internal exposure monitoring Spectrometer, WBC	
+ +	+ + +	
Assessment of internal dose, Medical screening and triage, Registry		



fig. 1. CRC Network [2]

fig. 2. Contamination monitoring and follow-up

This work was supported by the Nuclear Safety Research Program through the Korea Foundation of Nuclear Safety (KoFONS) using the financial resource granted by the Nuclear Safety and Security Commission (NSSC) of the Republic of Korea (No.2105010).

References

Hisayoshi Kondo et al, Screening of Residents Following the Tokyo Electric Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant Accident, Health Phys, 105(1), pp. 11-20, 2013
 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Population Monitoring in Radiation Emergencies: A Guide for State and Local Public Health Planners, CDC, 2014