Reactor Physics & Particle Transport Computation Simulation Lab.

Application of a Vision Transformer for Prediction of Peaking Factors and Cycle Lengths in OPR1000

ATIONAL UNITED

PUSA

THE LIBERTY DENC

Seongjin Jeong

Professor : Hyun Chul Lee (hyunchul.lee@pusan.ac.kr) Nuclear System Division, School of Mech. Eng. Pusan National University

Research Purpose



> Impossible to evaluate all possible Loading Patterns (LP)s in LP optimization

- Optimization algorithms such as simulated annealing have been developed so far.
- However, it takes **tremendous computational time** for neutronics calculations.

> Artificial Intelligence (AI) model could be applied to LP analyzation.

- AI prediction is faster than code calculation
 - Useful to avoid the code to calculate meaningless LPs
- Outstanding AI model: Vision Transformer(ViT)
 - Exhibit better accuracy for image recognition compared with every prior-developed AI model.

Research Background

> What is AI model?

Prediction tool for a complicated phenomenon.

- Training AI model is to make higher prediction accuracy using data.
- Expressions in a training process.
 - **Parameter** is a value that consists AI model.
 - Training AI model is to optimize the parameters in AI model.
 - Dataset is a combination of problems (inputs) and the answers (outputs).
 - **Batch** is a sample of data that computing resources could handle.
 - The data is divided into batches and given to AI model sequentially.
 - **Epoch** is an iteration number that how many times AI model has seen the whole data.

≻ How can we make AI model?

- Prepare a dataset which contains many different situations.
- Tune the model to achieve better results with untrained data.



ViT Calculation

Data embedding

• Convert an image to vectors.

> Transformer encoder

• Find the patterns in the vectors.

Output converter

• Arrange the encoded patterns into an output format.



Data-flow in the ViT



Data Embedding

> Patch embedding

- The image is divided into patches.
 - Patch is a fixed-size square region extracted from an input image.
- Each patch corresponds to a patch embedding vector.

Position embedding

- The position information of each patch corresponds to a position embedding vector.
- \succ The input vector consists of the patch and position embedding vectors.





Transformer Encoder

➢ Multi-head attention

- Attention is to calculate the dependencies among input vectors.
- Multi-head attention is to process multiple sight of view in parallel.
- Crucial factor of ViT performance.

➤ Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)

- The hidden layers consist of perceptron.
- Perceptron used to change the dependencies into encoded values.

> A layer in transformer encoder

- a set of Multi-head attention and MLP
- repeated for more detailed interpretation



One layer in transformer encoder

Generated LPs by Applying Random Deviations



\succ Used the LPs described in the reference.

- "Nuclear Design Report for Yonggwang Unit 3 Cycle 1/2"
- Includes 9 types of fuel assemblies in cycle 1, and 12 types in cycle 2.
- > Changed the parameters of the fuel pins in the one-eighth fuel assembly.
 - Modified parameters
 - Fuel enrichment (wt.%)
 - Burnable Poison (BP) fraction (wt.%)
- > Arranged the resulting fuel assemblies in the core in a random pattern.
 - Changed Parameter is **fuel assembly burnups (MWd/kgU).**
 - based on the values of fuel assembly types in BOC
 - All pins in an assembly have the same burnup.
- \succ Each change of parameters is a random value within ±20%.
 - The value of 20% was determined from sensitivity tests.

Generated Datasets for LPs.

- > Analyzed LPs by using a two-step code system ST/R2.
 - STREAM 2D
 - Generated group constants from 2D transport calculation
 - XS/Depletion library: E71JD40
 - Branch option: Hot-state case matrix created with coolant temperature of ± 20 K change
 - Final burnup: 80MWd/kgHM
 - RAST-K 2.0
 - Solved 3D LWR core problems by employing the diffusion equation.
 - Calculate the LP properties
 - Cycle length: EFPD when CBC becomes 10 ppm.
 - Peaking factor: maximum value of F-xy

> The Dataset was generated from a total of 110k LPs, with 55k LPs in each cycle.

- Input: LP parameters for each pin in the LP
- Output: LP properties analyzed by ST/R2

Interpreted Each LP as a Color Input of ViT



\succ Red channel

- Fuel enrichment
- Range: 0.00 ~ 6.00 wt.%
 - 0.00 for non-fuel region
 - 0.711 wt.% for BP pin.

➢ Green channel

- BP fraction
- Range: 0.00 ~ 10.0 wt.%
 - 0.00 for non-BP

➢ Blue channel

- Assembly burnup
- Range: 0.00 ~ 30.0 MWd/kgU

> Every values normalized into 0.00 ~ 1.00

Fuel enrichments (red), BP fractions(green), and assembly burnups(blue) combines into a color image to insert in a ViT calculation



The method to optimize ViT Model



> Estimated dataset prediction errors.

- A difference between the outputs from ST/R2 and ViT calculation.
- It could have some bounce while training, there should be no signs of error increasing trend.

> Two modes to find the optimum ViT model

- Training mode
 - Allow to modify the parameters in ViT model.
 - Use output of dataset to optimize it.
 - **training set** is used for training in an epoch.
- Evaluation mode
 - Limit to change the parameters in ViT model.
 - Check the ViT model whether prediction is accurate on untrained data.
 - Validation set is used for evaluating ViT model in every epoch.
 - Test set is used after the training process is done.

Calculation descriptions of training ViT Model



- Computing resource used for the training
 - CPU: Intel Core i7-9700F @ 3.00GHz
 - GPU: NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Ti 12GB
 - Memory: 62GB RAM
- > Model properties
 - Total number of parameters in ViT model: 1.1M
 - Dataset memory: 20 GB
- Subset size
 - Training set: 90k
 - Validation set: 10k
 - Test set: 10k

> It took 50 sec for one epoch of ViT model training.

Convergence of Dataset Prediction Error



- > Set a convergence criteria of dataset prediction error.
 - The average of the validation errors in the last 10 steps is higher than that in the 10 steps.
- > The dataset prediction errors for validation were lower than those for training.
 - Due to the low batch size restricted by GPU memory size



Prediction Accuracy of ViT model

- > The prediction values were compared with the ST/R2 code calculation results.
- Standard Deviation of relative error
 - The deviation of relative prediction error
 - Under 3% for the cycle length and peaking factor.

Maximal value of relative error

- Largest prediction error that ViT model could be.
- About 3.75% for the cycle length prediction model
- However, it is over 15% for the peaking factor prediction model



Error types	Std. Dev. [%]	Max Rel. Err. [%]
Cycle length	0.24	3.75
Peaking factor	2.51	16.29

Conclusions



- Suggests a guideline to apply ViT model in LP evaluation.
 - Make a specific method to generate dataset with LPs of OPR1000.
 - Achieve the RMS of relative error below 5%.
- ➢ Future work
 - Optimize ViT model for evaluating peaking factor
 - Find the reason of relatively large maximum of relative error.
 - Set a new large dataset for ViT model
 - A larger dataset makes it possible to generate more generalized evaluation model.
 - Compare with other AI models
 - Train other AI models with the dataset we produced.





Thank you 🕲

NS Sonny Meeting, 17-19 May 2023, ICC Jeju