Probability Analysis of the Construction Cost of an APR1000 Single Unit

Gak-Hyeon Ha, Yong-Pyo Suh, Yong-Chul Kang kyeonh@khnp.co.kr

1. Introduction

The nuclear power plant market is expected to grow rapidly in order to address issues of global warming, cutting CO₂ emissions and securing stable electricity supplies. Under these circumstances, the main primary goal of the APR1000 development is to ensure export competitiveness in the developing countries in the Middle East and Southeast Asia. To that end, APR1000 (1,000MWe, 3rd generation) will be developed based on the OPR1000 (Korean standard nuclear power plant, 2.5 generation) by incorporating and improving the general requirements such as the 60 year design life time, comprehensive site requirement of 0.3g seismic design, stability improvement, operability improvement and provisions for severe accidents. The APR1000 adds 16 advanced design features to its predecessor, as outlined below in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of APR1000 advanced design features

| | Item | APR1000 |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| 1 | Plant life time | 60 yr |
| 2 | Seismic design | 0.3g |
| 3 | 30% MOX fuel and 24months fuel cycle | Adopt if necessary |
| 4 | Daily load follow operation/Frequency control operation | Automatic / Partial |
| 5 | CDF | 10E-5/Ry |
| 6 | Containment Integrity | Aircraft impact |
| 7 | Construction schedule(FC-FL) | 40 months |
| 8 | MMIS system | Adoption |
| 9 | 50 Hz RCP | 50/60 Hz RCP |
| 10 | Severe accident | IVR/ERVC, RX cavity flooding system |
| 11 | Site envelop | Foreign |
| 12 | Fluidic device | Adopt if necessary |
| 13 | Natural cooling tower | Adopt if necessary |
| 14 | Operator action margin time | \geq 30 minutes |
| 15 | GA optimum | Optimizing RCFS Optimizing MMIS |
| 16 | Cold head RV design | Application |

* RCFS : Reactor Cavity Flooding System

IVR/ERVC : In-Vessel Corium Retention/ External Reactor Vessel Cooling

2. Construction Cost Conversion Factor from Dual to Single Unit

In Korea, nuclear power plants have been usually constructed a dual unit in the same site at the same time. Therefore most construction cost data exists dual unit. However in many cases, foreign nations construct nuclear power plants with only a single unit. It is possible to estimate the single unit construction cost using by the learning factor in Chapter 1, Appendix C Cost Estimating Ground rules of the EPRI ALWR URD.

Cost for Project Number $N = Io \times (LF)^{D}$

| where | Io : Initial Plant Cost |
|-------|-------------------------|
| | $D = \ln(N)/\ln(2),$ |
| | LF : Learning Factor |

Table 2. Learning factor

| Cost items | LF | Remarks |
|-------------------|------|------------------------|
| Equipment cost | 0.95 | |
| Installation cost | 0.95 | Different site |
| | 0.90 | Dual unit at same site |

3. A Probability Cost Analysis of APR1000

The probability density functions(PDFs) of ten construction cost elements were developed through expert group meetings. Many cost field experts were invited to undertake the PDFs for the elements of nuclear power plants. The cost experts invited to select high level uncertainty elements minimum, maximum, and median values were determined through discussions, their experiences, and engineering judgments. The cost evaluator developed PDFs for each cost element. Next, the screening analysis was undertaken. If an uncertainty problem existed, the problem was returned to the cost experts. The cost experts then discussed the problem again and provided a more efficient PDF. The final PDFs were determined and the simulation was performed.

Table 3. Construction Cost Elements

| No | Cost Element | No | Cost Element |
|----|--------------|----|-----------------------|
| 1 | NSSS | 6 | Owner |
| 2 | T/G | 7 | Shipping |
| 3 | BOP | 8 | Contingency |
| 4 | Installation | 9 | Exchange rate |
| 5 | A/E | 10 | Construction schedule |



As a result of performing the probability cost analysis, using the Crystal Ball software from Oracle, the most sensitive cost element was shown to be the installation cost. The next costs were the interest during construction(IDC), BOP, exchange rate, contingency, and so on, as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Sensitivity Chart

The median(50% cumulative probability value) total capital requirement(TCR) value was $29,321 \times 100$ million won, the 0% non-exceedance value was $27,954 \times 100$ million won, and the 100% non-exceedance value was $31,222 \times 100$ million won for APR1000 single unit construction cost.



Forecast: TCR (cont'd)

| Percentiles: | Forecast values |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 0% | 27,954 |
| 10% | 28,780 |
| 20% | 28,960 |
| 30% | 29,091 |
| 40% | 29,208 |
| 50% | 29,321 |
| 60% | 29,435 |
| 70% | 29,557 |
| 80% | 29,701 |
| 90% | 29,904 |
| 100% | 31,222 |

Figure 3. Probabilistic cost analysis results for the APR1000

4. Conclusions

In this simulation, the results of the construction cost of the APR1000 single unit were determined using the probability cost analysis technique, the TCR range was shown to be $27,954 \times 100$ million won ~ to $31,222 \times 100$ million won.

REFERENCES

- "EPRI URD Chapter 1 App.C, ALWR Costs Estimating Ground rules", June 1995,
- [2] "Projected Costs of Generating Electricity", 2010, IEA/NEA
- [3] "Advanced Design Nuclear Power Technologies (ORNL TM-10071, R3", ORNL, 1993
- [4] "CRYSTAL BALL Manual", Aug. 2005
- [5] "EPRI TAG" Vols.1 & 3, 1986