Droplet prediction for annular flow in SPACE code

Byoung Jae Kim^{a*}, Eo Hwak Lee ^b, Kyur

, Kyung Doo Kim^a *^aTermal-Hydraulics Safety Research Division, KAERI, Daedeok-daero 989-111, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Korea ^bNuclear Fusion Engineering Development Division, KAERI, Daedeok-daero 989-111, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Korea*

**Corresponding author: byoungjae@kaeri.re.kr*

1. Introduction

SPACE code is a system code using the two-fluid and three-field model. This study deals with entrainment and deposition of droplet field for annular flow. To assess the droplet prediction capability, two experiments are simulated: Cousins et al. (1965) and Mantilla (2008).

2. Entrainment and Deposition

Pan and Hanratty (2002) and McCoy and Hanratty (1977) were selected for entrainment and deposition, respectively, in annular flow. But several modifications have been made through various tests. The entrainment rate $S_{\rm E}$ is computed as follows:

$$
S_{\rm E} = \min[S_{\rm E1}, 0.0012\rho_{l}] \text{ kg/m}^2\text{s}
$$

\n
$$
S_{\rm E1} = \min[k_{\rm a}v_{\rm g}^2\sqrt{\rho_{\rm g}\rho_{l}}\max[W_{\rm If}/P-\Gamma_{\rm c}.0]]
$$

\n
$$
k_{\rm a} = 3.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^2/\text{kg}
$$

\n
$$
W_{\rm If} = \alpha_{l}\rho_{l}v_{l}A \text{ kg/s}
$$

\n
$$
P = 4A/D_{\rm h} \text{ m}
$$

\n
$$
\Gamma_{\rm c} = 0.046 \text{ kg/ms}
$$

Here, A and D_h are the cross-sectional area and the hydraulic diameter of a flow channel, respectively. The value of the atomization rate k_a was taken from Bertodano et al. (1997), and the value of the minimum liquid flow rate for droplet entrainment *Γ*^c from Dykhno and Hanratty (1996). The deposition rate S_D is estimated as below.

$$
S_{\rm p} = k_{\rm p} C \text{ kg/m}^2 \text{s}
$$

\n
$$
k_{\rm p} = 20.7u^* / \sqrt{\tau^+} \text{ m/s}
$$

\n
$$
\tau^+ = d_d^2 u^{*2} \rho_g \rho_l / (18\mu_g^2)
$$

\n
$$
u^* = \sqrt{0.5f_i v_g^2} \text{ m/s}
$$

\n
$$
f_i = 0.005(1 + 75\alpha_l)
$$

\n
$$
C = \alpha_d \rho_d / (\alpha_g + \alpha_d) \text{ kg/m}^3
$$

\n
$$
Fig. 2. SPAC
$$

\nFig. 2. SPAC
\nFig. 2. SPAC
\nFigure 3 a
\nflow rates w
\nprediction ag
\ndownstream t

3. Simulation Conditions

Cousins et al. (1965) measured the droplet mass flow rate in vertical upward annular flow. The experiment was conducted with a 0.375" pipe under about 40 psia. A total of 21 data sets were selected among 52 data sets

for simulation. The air injection flow rate is 40~70 lb/hr, and the water injection rate is 25~230 lb/hr.

Mantilla (2008) provides the experimental data for droplet mass flow rates in horizontal annular flow. In particular, the data includes the liquid film flow rates at four different points along the circumferential. The experiment pressure is about 2 bar. Since the droplet mass flow rates are very little in a 6" pipe, the experiment data sets for a 2" pipe are simulated.

water

Fig. 2. SPACE code nodding diagram for Mantilla (2008)

3. Results

 $\int_{i}^{1} = 0.005(1 + 75\alpha_{i})$ flow rates with Cousins et al. (1965). As seen, the $C = \alpha_d \rho_d / (\alpha_g + \alpha_d)$ kg/m³ prediction agrees fairly well with experiment. As going Figures 3 and 4 show a comparison of droplet mass downstream, the droplet mass flow rate increases, which is a typical behavior.

Fig. 4. Comparison with Cousins data (Wg=70 lb/hr)

Figures 5 and 6 show the liquid film thickness δ and the entrainment fraction $e=W_d/(W_d+W_H)$ at the outlet. The subscripts d and If stand for droplet and liquid film, respectively. In Fig. 5, the angles 0° and 180° indicate $\frac{12}{56}$ the bottom and top of the channel, respectively. The large uncertainty of the droplet correlation considered, the predictions are excellent though the droplet entrainment is slightly over-estimated on the whole. It is found in Fig. 6 that no droplet entrainment occur for cases 1~5. But for such cases, due to very low water injection rates, the measured droplet mass flow rates are so small that the measured values may be within the measurement uncertainty.

Fig. 5. Comparison of the liquid film thickness δ

Fig. 6. Comparison of entrainment fraction *e*

 Good agreements with experiment imply that the magnitudes and entrainment and deposition rate are appropriate in annular flow.

3. Conclusions

The prediction capability of SPACE code for droplet entrainment and deposition in annular flow has been tested. The results showed a good agreement with Cousins et al. (1965) and Mantilla (2008) which were performed at low pressures (2~3 bar).

REFERENCES

[1] L. Pan and T. J. Hanratty, Correlation of Entrainment for Annular Flow in Vertical Pipes, International Journal of Multiphase Flow, Vol.28, p. 363, 2002.

[2] D. D. McCoy and T. J. Hanratty, Rate of Deposition of Droplets in Annular Two Phase Flow, International Journal of Multiphase Flow, Vol.3, p. 319, 1977.

[3] L. A. Dykhno and T. J. Hanratty, Use of the Interchange Model to Predict Entrainment in Vertical Annular Flow, Chem. Eng. Commun. 141/142, p. 207, 1996.

[4] M. A. L. Bertodano, C-S. Jan, S. G. Beus, Annular Flow Entrainment Rate Experiment in a Small Vertical Pipe, Nuclear Engineering and Design, Vol.178, p. 61, 1997.

[5] L. B. Cousins, W. H. Denton, and G. F. Hewitt, Liquid Mass Transfer in Annular Two-Phase Flow, Symposium on Two-Phase Flow, Vo1.1, paper C4, Exeter, England, 1965.

[6] I. Mantilla, Mechanistic Modeling of Liquid Entrainment in Gas in Horizontal Pipes, Dissertation, University of Tulsa, 2008.