



Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Autumn Meeting

### **Evaluation of Radionuclide Inventories and Instant Release Fraction of Low-Enriched PLUS7 Type Fuel** Assembly

2024.10.25

### Kwang Pyo Choi and Ser Gi Hong\*

\*Corresponding author: hongsergi@hanyang.ac.kr

Department of Nuclear Engineering, Hanyang University Computational Transport & Reactor Physics Laboratory

This work was supported by the Institute for Korea Spent Nuclear Fuel (iKSNF) and Korea Institute of Energy Technology Evaluation and Planning (KETEP) grant funded by the Korea government (Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (MOTIE)) (No. RS-2021-KP002656).

### Contents

- 1. INTRODUCTION
- 2. METHODOLOGY
- 3. RESULTS
- 4. CONCLUSIONS



# **1. INTRODUCTION (1/3)**

#### Necessity of Safety Analysis of Deep Geological Repository

- Currently, the PWR Spent Nuclear Fuel(SNF)s in Korea are stored in the wet storages at Ο the individual reactor site.
- Decision of the final repository concept is postponed, and the wet storages are going to be 0 saturated soon.<sup>1)</sup>
- In 2030, the initial operation license of 10 Nuclear Power Plants is going to be expired, and 0 the current government makes the possibility of continued operation of those be opened.<sup>2)</sup>
- The interim storage is the method of storing the SNFs with a clear limit. Ο
- Many countries consider a Deep Geological Repository(DGR) as a final repository concept. Ο
- At first, we need to preliminarily analyze the source term of the DGR in Korea.



Table 1. Estimated saturation point by nuclear power plant site (unit: year) <sup><math>1</math></sup>						
	<b>KORI</b> (PWR)	HANBIT (PWR)	HANUL (PWR)	<b>SAEUL</b> (PWR)	SHIN- WOLSONG (PWR)	wolsong (CANDU)
Basic Plan ('21.12)	2031	2031	2032	2066	2044	-
<b>Renewed</b> ('23.2)	2032*	2030	2031	2066	2042	2037

\* KORI-2 SFP forecasted to saturate by 2028 without high-density rack installation

Figure 1. Nuclear Power Plant(NPP) Operation Status in Korea (24.04.05)<sup>2)</sup>

# **1. INTRODUCTION (2/3)**

### Source Term Analysis

- In the DGR concept of SKB and POSIVA, 4 PWR SNFs are stored 0 in the single copper canister.<sup>3)</sup>
- The source term is in the SNFs and construction materials. 0
- The source term analysis includes the calculation below: Ο
  - Radionuclide inventory, Radioactivity
  - Decay heat
  - Neutron/Gamma spectrum
- Radionuclide release amount and release rate can be calculated Ο through the source term analysis.







#### Figure 3. SKB's reference canister<sup>4)</sup>

Figure 4. Examples of the results of the source term analysis<sup>5)</sup> 3) Mikael Jonsson et al., Mechanical design analysis for the canister, POSIVA SKB Report 04, 2018

4) Johan Andersson et al., Data report for the safety assessment SR-site, SKB TR-10-52, Sweden, 2010

5) K. J. Choi, S. S. Oh, and S. G. Hong, "Preliminary Selection of Safety-Relevant Radionuclides for Long-term Safety Assessment of Deep Geological Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel in South Korea", JNFCWT, Vol.21, No.4, p.451-463, 2023

# 1. INTRODUCTION (3/3)

#### Radionuclide Release Mechanism

- There are two major mechanisms:<sup>4,6)</sup>
  - Instant Release : Release process of partially distributed nuclides from a fuel-cladding gap, fuel grain boundary, CRUD, and Cladding etc. (Rapid)
  - Congruent Release : Release process from fuel matrix due to the dissolution of fuel contacting underwater. (Very slow)
- Especially, the fraction of the instantly released amount to the total amount is the Instant Release Fraction (IRF).
- In this work, those two were calculated :
  - IRF
  - Radionuclide inventory : the inventory of safety-relevant radionuclides in the single canister
- The Serpent 2 Monte-Carlo depletion calculation code is used.



Figure 5. Radionuclides release model in EBS<sup>7)</sup>



Figure 6. Schematic figure of application IRF in radionuclide release model

6) Posiva Oy, Safety Case for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel at Olkiluoto – Models and Data for the Repository System 2012, Posiva 2013-01, 2013
7) Sandia National Laboratories(2012), Integration of EBS Models with Generic Disposal System Models, SAND2012-7762 P

### 2. METHODOLOGY (1/4)

#### Instant Release Radionuclides

- 18 radionuclides are considered as an instant release radionuclide.
- Instant release radionuclides are distributed to 4 groups<sup>4</sup>).
- In previous work, Group 1 radionuclides' data was evaluated.<sup>8)</sup>
- In this work, we calculated only the IRF of <sup>14</sup>C, <sup>59</sup>Ni, <sup>63</sup>Ni,

<sup>93</sup>Zr, <sup>93</sup>Mo, <sup>93m</sup>Nb, <sup>94</sup>Nb.

- For <sup>14</sup>C, 10% of UO<sub>2</sub> inventory and 20% of the cladding inventory are instantly released.
- For <sup>59</sup>Ni, <sup>63</sup>Ni, <sup>93</sup>Zr, <sup>93</sup>Mo, <sup>93m</sup>Nb, <sup>94</sup>Nb, only CRUD inventory is instantly released.

#### Table 2. Instant Release Radionuclides' group<sup>4)</sup>

Group	Radionuclides
Group 1 (Very volatile radionuclide)	<sup>129</sup> I, <sup>135</sup> Cs, <sup>137</sup> Cs, <sup>36</sup> Cl, <sup>79</sup> Se
Group 2 (Volatile radionuclide)	<sup>107</sup> Pd, <sup>126</sup> Sn, <sup>90</sup> Sr, <sup>99</sup> Tc
Group 3 (Instantly released only from CRUD)	<sup>93</sup> Mo, <sup>93m</sup> Nb, <sup>94</sup> Nb, <sup>59</sup> Ni, <sup>63</sup> Ni, <sup>93</sup> Zr
Group 4 (Individually treated)	<sup>3</sup> H, <sup>14</sup> C, <sup>121m</sup> Sn





Table 3. IRF formula of some radionuclides <sup>4)</sup>					
Radionuclides	IRF formula				
<sup>14</sup> C	$(0.1 \times I_{UO2} + 0.2 \times I_{clad}) / I_{tot}$				
<sup>59</sup> Ni, <sup>63</sup> Ni, <sup>93</sup> Zr, <sup>93</sup> Mo, <sup>93m</sup> Nb, <sup>94</sup> Nb <b>I</b> <sub>crud</sub> / <b>I</b> <sub>tot</sub>					
$\begin{split} & I_{tot}: \text{Total Radioactivity} \\ & I_{UO2}: \text{Radioactivity in UO2 matrix} \\ & I_{clad}: \text{Radioactivity in Cladding} \\ & I_{crud}: \text{Radioactivity in CRUD} \end{split}$					

8) K. P. Choi, and S. G. Hong, A Preliminary Study for Evaluation of Instant Release Fractions for PWR Spent Fuels Based on Literature Review, Korean Radioacive Waste Society Autumn Conference, 2023

# 2. METHODOLOGY (2/4)

### Safety-relevant radionuclide

- In previous work, 56 safety-relevant radionuclides were selected.<sup>5)</sup>
- Those radionuclides are distributed in the each part of the canister.
- There're 4 components in the single canister
  - UO<sub>2</sub>, Cladding, CRUD, Construction materials
- It is necessary to calculate each radioactivity of the components.<sup>4,6)</sup>



#### Figure 8. Chart of the source term as inventory components<sup>6)</sup>

		-			
	Fissio	n and Acti	vation pr	oducts	
<sup>108m</sup> Ag	<sup>14</sup> C	<sup>113m</sup> Cd	<sup>36</sup> Cl	$^{134}Cs$	<sup>135</sup> Cs
<sup>137</sup> Cs	<sup>152</sup> Eu	<sup>154</sup> Eu	<sup>155</sup> Eu	<sup>3</sup> H	<sup>129</sup> I
<sup>93</sup> Mo	<sup>93m</sup> Nb	<sup>94</sup> Nb	<sup>59</sup> Ni	<sup>63</sup> Ni	<sup>107</sup> Pd
<sup>147</sup> Pm	<sup>125</sup> Sb	<sup>79</sup> Se	<sup>151</sup> Sm	<sup>121m</sup> Sn	<sup>126</sup> Sn
<sup>90</sup> Sr	<sup>99</sup> Tc	<sup>93</sup> Zr			

Radionuclides from decay chain					
<sup>244</sup> Cm	<sup>240</sup> Pu	<sup>236</sup> U	<sup>232</sup> Th	<sup>232</sup> U	<sup>228</sup> Th
<sup>249</sup> Cf	<sup>245</sup> Cm	<sup>241</sup> Pu	<sup>241</sup> Am	<sup>237</sup> Np	<sup>233</sup> U
<sup>229</sup> Th	<sup>250</sup> Cf	<sup>246</sup> Cm	<sup>242</sup> Pu	<sup>242m</sup> A m	<sup>238</sup> U
<sup>238</sup> Pu	<sup>234</sup> U	<sup>230</sup> Th	<sup>226</sup> Ra	<sup>210</sup> Pb	<sup>243</sup> Am
<sup>243</sup> Cm	<sup>239</sup> Pu	<sup>235</sup> U	<sup>231</sup> Pa	<sup>227</sup> Ac	

#### Table 4. 56 Safety-relevant radionuclides<sup>5)</sup>

### 2. METHODOLOGY (3/4)

#### Serpent2 modeling of Reference PLUS7 low-burnup fuel assembly

- In previous work, the 4 type of reference PWR SNFs are selected.<sup>5</sup>
- Preliminary, Reference low-burnup PLUS7 assembly's radioactivity is calculated.
- Construction materials:
  - Guide tubes, Instrumental tube, Spacer grids, Nozzles
- Parameters of the FSAR of Hanbit unit 3, 4 are used.<sup>8)</sup>
- For some parameters, Other reference data is used.<sup>9)</sup>



Figure 9. Configuration of PLUS7 fuel assembly

Table 5. Parameters of reference Low-Burnup PLUS7 assembly<sup>9,10)</sup>

	Parameter	REF_PLUS7_LB
Lattice type	16×16	
Burnup (MWd	·kg <sup>-1</sup> )	45
Initial uranium	enrichment (wt.%)	4.5
Initial uranium	loading (kg)	436
Specific power	$(MW \cdot MTU^{-1})$	40
	Material	ZIRLO
Mid Grid	Number	9
	Weight each, kg	0.852
Тор,	Material	Inconel 718
Bottom	Number	2 (top, bottom)
Grid	Weight each, kg	0.651
Ductostino	Material	Inconel 718
Grid	Numbers per assembly	1
	Weight each, kg	0.415
Pottom	Material	SS 304
nogglo	Numbers per assembly	1
nozzie	Weight each, kg	5.4
	Matarial	SS 304 +
Тор	Iviate l'ai	Inconel 718
nozzle	Numbers per assembly	1
	Weight each, kg	16.8

#### Table 6. N, CI, Ni Impurity composition<sup>11, 12)</sup>

	Weight fraction [ppm]				
Elements	UO <sub>2</sub>	UO <sub>2</sub> ZIRLO			
N	75	80	1000		
Cl	25	10	10		
Ni	400	80	80		

9) 한국수력원자력㈜, 한빛 3,4호기 최종안전성 분석보고서 공개본, 4장

10) Georgeta Radulescu, Brandon R. Grogan, and Kaushik Banerjee, Fuel Assembly Reference Information for SNF Radiation

Source Term Calculations, ORNL/SPR-2021/2093, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, 2021

11) Posiva Oy, Source Terms for the Safety Case in Support of the Operating Licence Application, POSIVA 2021-11

12) Häkkinen, Silja, Impurities in LWR fuel and structural materials, VTT-R-00184-20

### 2. METHODOLOGY (4/4)

#### Serpent2 modeling of Reference PLUS7 low-burnup fuel assembly

- The Chalk River Undefined Deposits(CRUD) on the cladding surface is also considered
- Most of the CRUD is deposited on the upper part of active fuel length<sup>13)</sup>
- So uniform thickness is assumed as 7.5 µm, the half of the maximum thickness<sup>14</sup>)
- Averaged fraction is applied to the composition of the CRUD



Figure 12. CRUD geometry in Serpent2



13) S. J. Seo, N. K. Chae, Samuel Park, Richard I. Foster, S. Y. Choi, "Modeling of deposition and erosion of CRUD on fuel surfaces under sub-cooled nucleate boiling in PWR" 14) H. M. Kwon, H. S. Seo, Y. H. Jung, D. K. Min, and Y. B. Chun, "Study of the Composition of Cruds on the High Burnup Fuel Cladding in PWR"

### 3. RESULTS (1/3)

### Radioactivity and IRF value

O Radioactivity and IRF of the radionuclides which have a IRF value

Radio-	Radioactivity [Bq/canister]						
nuclide	Total	Fuel Matrix	Cladding	Stuructural Materials	CRUD		
<sup>3</sup> H	8.83E+09	7.11E+09	1.41E+09	3.05E+08	4.27E+05		
<sup>14</sup> C	1.58E+11	1.26E+11	2.34E+10	8.39E+09	1.19E+08		
<sup>36</sup> Cl	4.26E+09	3.84E+09	3.33E+08	8.42E+07	1.70E-18		
<sup>59</sup> Ni	5.34E+10	1.20E+10	5.19E+08	2.29E+10	1.80E+10	Table 9. Calculatio	n results of IRFs
<sup>63</sup> Ni	5.65E+12	1.35E+12	5.87E+10	2.31E+12	1.93E+12		
<sup>79</sup> Se	6.29E+09	6.29E+09	1.68E-11	3.43E-12	0	Radionuclides	IRF [%]
<sup>90</sup> Sr	1.97E+15	1.97E+15	4.32E+08	8.08E+07	0		
<sup>93</sup> Zr	1.67E+11	1.57E+11	8.31E+09	1.73E+09	0	<sup>14</sup> C	10.94
<sup>93</sup> Mo	2.01E+08	5.83E+04	2.43E+04	2.01E+08	0	59NT:	22.50
<sup>93m</sup> Nb	1.45E+11	1.36E+11	7.22E+09	1.66E+09	0		33.38
<sup>94</sup> Nb	4.05E+11	2.22E+07	3.34E+11	7.08E+10	0	<sup>63</sup> Ni	34.07
<sup>99</sup> Tc	1.16E+12	1.16E+12	9.46E+05	2.70E+07	0	937-	0
<sup>107</sup> Pd	9.21E+09	9.21E+09	3.21E-06	6.17E-07	0	<sup>33</sup> Zr	0
$^{108m}Ag$	7.71E+05	7.71E+05	5.99E-04	1.23E-04	0	<sup>93</sup> Mo	0
<sup>113m</sup> Cd	1.84E+11	1.84E+11	3.80E+04	7.88E+03	0	03mb TI	0
<sup>121m</sup> Sn	1.21E+12	1.18E+12	3.14E+10	6.13E+09	0	<sup>95m</sup> Nb	0
<sup>126</sup> Sn	2.32E+10	2.32E+10	3.98E+03	8.03E+02	0	<sup>94</sup> Nb	0
<sup>129</sup> I	2.35E+09	2.35E+09	0	0	0		
<sup>135</sup> Cs	3.97E+10	3.97E+10	0	0	0		
<sup>137</sup> Cs	2.88E+15	2.88E+15	0	0	0		

#### Table 8. Radioactivity of nuclides which have IRF value

# 3. RESULTS (2/3)

### Radioactivity

• Radioactivity of the radionuclides which have zero IRF value

Dadia	Radioactivity [Bq/canister]					
nuclide	Total	Fuel Matrix	Cladding	Stuructural Materials	CRUD	
<sup>134</sup> Cs	8.03E+08	8.03E+08	0	0	0	
<sup>152</sup> Eu	1.66E+10	1.66E+10	0	0	0	
<sup>154</sup> Eu	1.11E+13	1.11E+13	0	0	0	
<sup>155</sup> Eu	2.34E+11	2.34E+11	0	0	0	
<sup>147</sup> Pm	2.58E+10	2.58E+10	0	0	0	
<sup>125</sup> Sb	3.26E+09	3.05E+09	1.77E+08	3.42E+07	0	
<sup>151</sup> Sm	1.67E+13	1.67E+13	0	0	0	
<sup>244</sup> Cm	4.30E+13	4.30E+13	0	0	0	
<sup>240</sup> Pu	3.87E+13	3.87E+13	0	0	0	
<sup>236</sup> U	2.51E+10	2.51E+10	0	0	0	
<sup>232</sup> Th	6.42E+01	6.42E+01	0	0	0	
<sup>232</sup> U	3.54E+08	3.54E+08	0	0	0	
<sup>228</sup> Th	3.64E+08	3.64E+08	0	0	0	
<sup>249</sup> Cf	1.63E+06	1.63E+06	0	0	0	
<sup>245</sup> Cm	4.34E+10	4.34E+10	0	0	0	
<sup>241</sup> Pu	9.82E+14	9.82E+14	0	0	0	
<sup>241</sup> Am	3.26E+14	3.26E+14	0	0	0	
<sup>237</sup> Np	3.33E+10	3.33E+10	0	0	0	
<sup>233</sup> U	9.68E+06	9.68E+06	0	0	0	

|--|

# 3. **RESULTS (3/3)**

#### Radioactivity

Dadia	Radioactivity [Bq/canister]						
nuclide	Total	Fuel Matrix	Cladding	Stuructural Materials	CRUD		
<sup>229</sup> Th	3.90E+04	3.90E+04	0	0	0		
<sup>250</sup> Cf	1.75E-02	1.75E-02	0	0	0		
<sup>246</sup> Cm	6.52E+09	6.52E+09	0	0	0		
<sup>242m</sup> Am	2.96E+12	2.96E+12	0	0	0		
<sup>242</sup> Pu	1.68E+11	1.68E+11	0	0	0		
<sup>238</sup> U	2.00E+10	2.00E+10	0	0	0		
<sup>238</sup> Pu	2.20E+14	2.20E+14	0	0	0		
<sup>234</sup> U	1.24E+11	1.24E+11	0	0	0		
<sup>230</sup> Th	5.11E+07	5.11E+07	0	0	0		
<sup>226</sup> Ra	5.43E+05	5.43E+05	0	0	0		
<sup>210</sup> Pb	2.03E+05	2.03E+05	0	0	0		
<sup>243</sup> Am	1.75E+12	1.75E+12	0	0	0		
<sup>243</sup> Cm	6.37E+11	6.37E+11	0	0	0		
<sup>239</sup> Pu	2.50E+13	2.50E+13	0	0	0		
<sup>235</sup> U	1.56E+09	1.56E+09	0	0	0		
<sup>231</sup> Pa	3.70E+06	3.70E+06	0	0	0		
<sup>227</sup> Ac	2.48E+06	2.48E+06	0	0	0		

Table 10. Radioactivity of nuclides(Non-IRF, continued)

### 4. CONCLUSIONS (1/1)

#### Necessity of the data verification for the Safety analysis

- In this study, we calculated the radionuclide activities and instant release fractions (IRFs) for selected nuclides in a reference low-burnup PLUS7-type fuel assembly.
- $\bigcirc$  In comparison with the SKB's IRF data<sup>4)</sup> :
  - For <sup>14</sup>C, it was similar level
  - For, <sup>59</sup>Ni, <sup>63</sup>Ni, it was very overestimated
  - For <sup>93</sup>Mo, <sup>93m</sup>Nb, <sup>94</sup>Nb, <sup>93</sup>Zr, SKB's data is also small, but our data is literally zero.
- From these results, more research about the modeling the CRUD in computational code is needed
- Also, the source term analysis has a main role of guarantying the precision of the Safety analysis
- For this sake, Cross-validation is needed between this result and other result from using another computational code like SCALE, DeCART2D

Radionuclides	IRF [%]	IRF [%] (SKB)
<sup>14</sup> C	10.94	11
<sup>59</sup> Ni	33.58	0.16
<sup>63</sup> Ni	34.07	0.15
<sup>93</sup> Zr	0	7.0×10 <sup>-6</sup>
<sup>93</sup> Mo	0	5.5×10 <sup>-3</sup>
<sup>93m</sup> Nb	0	6.9×10 <sup>-5</sup>
<sup>94</sup> Nb	0	6.8×10 <sup>-5</sup>

Table 11. Comparison between our results and SKB's data<sup>4)</sup>





# Thank you for your attention.